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SUMMARY

Twenty-five years have passed since the discovery of cyclic dimeric (3′→5′) GMP (cyclic di-GMP or c-di-GMP). From the relative obscurity of an allosteric activator of a bacterial cellulose synthase, c-di-GMP has emerged as one of the most common and important bacterial second messengers. Cyclic di-GMP has been shown to regulate biofilm formation, motility, virulence, the cell cycle, differentiation, and other processes. Most c-di-GMP-dependent signaling pathways control the ability of bacteria to interact with abiotic surfaces or with other bacterial and eukaryotic cells. Cyclic di-GMP plays key roles in lifestyle changes of many bacteria, including transition from the motile to the sessile state, which aids in the establishment of multicellular biofilm communities, and from the virulent state in acute infections to the less virulent but more resilient state characteristic of chronic infectious diseases. From a practical standpoint, modulating c-di-GMP signaling pathways in bacteria could represent a new way of controlling formation and dispersal of biofilms in medical and industrial settings. Cyclic di-GMP participates in interkingdom signaling. It is recognized by mammalian immune systems as a uniquely bacterial characteristic of chronic infectious diseases. From a practical perspective of cyclic di-GMP-dependent signaling. Instead, we provide a historic perspective on the development of the field, emphasize common trends, and illustrate them with the best available examples. We also identify unresolved questions and highlight new directions in c-di-GMP research that will give us a deeper understanding of this truly universal bacterial second messenger.

INTRODUCTION

This review discusses the current status of research on cyclic dimeric (3′→5′) GMP (cyclic di-GMP or c-di-GMP) (Fig. 1), a small molecule that was first described in 1987 as an allosteric activator of a bacterial cellulose synthase (1). During the past 25 years, c-di-GMP has been implicated in a growing number of cellular functions, including regulation of the cell cycle, differentiation, biofilm formation and dispersal, motility, virulence, and other processes (2–7). With enzymes of c-di-GMP synthesis and degradation identified in all major bacterial phyla, it is now recognized as a universal bacterial second messenger (Table 1).

Several researchers, including us, a few years ago proclaimed the dawning of the new signal transduction system (2, 3, 5). We can now confidently say that the dawning stage has ended and that c-di-GMP-related research is now in full swing. In the past several years, studies of c-di-GMP functions and mechanisms of action have been progressing at an ever-increasing pace, culminating in a number of thoughtful reviews
and a recently published comprehensive book that covered the entire field (17). What, then, is the purpose of yet another review?

We feel that there remains a need for a source of information on c-di-GMP that is comprehensive yet concise, not limited to a particular aspect of the c-di-GMP signaling field or only to recent advances in the field. In this review, we provide a historic perspective that will likely prove useful for numerous newcomers to this burgeoning field, discuss common trends, identify unique features of the c-di-GMP-mediated signaling systems in various organisms, and highlight the most exciting recent developments. We also emphasize the remaining questions and attempt to identify emerging directions in c-di-GMP research. The field of c-di-GMP signaling has grown so large and is developing so fast that an overview encompassing the whole body of data on c-di-GMP is no longer feasible. Our goal is therefore to organize the best available examples of experimental data into a set of common themes and concepts.

HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

As is true for most important scientific discoveries, the discovery of c-di-GMP was serendipitous, and the importance of its discovery was underappreciated for quite some time. Cyclic-di-GMP was originally identified by Moshe Benziman and colleagues at The Hebrew University of Jerusalem (1) as an allosteric factor required for activation of cellulose biosynthesis in the alphaproteobacterium _Gluconacetobacter xylinus_ (at that time referred to as _Acetobacter xylinum_). The history of this discovery was described in a 1991 review by Benziman and his students (18), in a book chapter by Deborah Delmer (19), and, more recently, by Dorit Amikam and colleagues (20). Briefly, cellulose biosynthesis by _G. xylinus_ was thought of as a useful model for understanding cellulose biosynthesis in plants and had been studied by Benziman’s teachers and colleagues since the 1940s (Table 2).

However, purified cellulose synthase consistently showed far lower activity than whole cells of _G. xylinus_ or partially purified membrane fractions (19). A long search for the cofactor that may have been lost during purification resulted in its identification, first as a GTP derivative, then as guanyl nucleotide composed of guanine, ribose, and phosphate at a 1:1:1 ratio (78, 79), and finally as bis(3’–5’) cyclic dimeric guanylic acid, or c-di-GMP (1) (Fig. 1). Cyclic di-GMP proved to be a very efficient regulator of cellulose synthase, activating it with submicromolar dissociation constant (K_d) values (1). The following year, cellulose synthase from another alphaproteobacterium, _Agrobacterium tumefaciens_, was demonstrated to be c-di-GMP dependent (80), thus indicating that c-di-GMP is not a _G. xylinus_-specific molecule but has a wider phylogenetic distribution.

Structural analysis of chemically synthesized c-di-GMP (81)
showed that in addition to the monomeric form, it also forms a stable dimer with stacked self-intercalated guanine units (Fig. 1C and D). Both forms were subsequently found in crystal structures of c-di-GMP-binding and -metabolizing proteins (36, 63–65, 75, 82–86). Cyclic di-GMP can also form higher oligomers, tetramers, and even octamers (87); their physiological roles, if any, remain unknown.

Shortly after discovering c-di-GMP, Benziman’s group identified and sequenced the genes encoding enzymes responsible for its synthesis and breakdown, i.e., the diguanylate cyclase (DGC) and c-di-GMP-specific phosphodiesterase (PDE), respectively. This work resulted in a patent application originally filed in 1991 but approved only much later, in 1998 (88), which delayed publication of the sequence data (25). Sequence analysis of six G. xylinus DGCs and PDEs, characterized in that work, revealed that they all had similar multidomain architectures, containing at least three common domains, PAS-GGDEF-EAL, which turned out to be the most common domain architecture of the c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins (Table 3).

The central GGDEF domain in all DGCs and PDEs proved to be similar to protein domains previously seen in several other bacteria. This domain was originally described in 1995 by Hecht and Newton for the response regulator PleD from Caulobacter crescentus (genome locus tag CC_2462). These authors designated it the GGDEF domain, based on its highly conserved Gly-Gly-Asp-Glu-Phe sequence motif, but they did not follow up with biochemical characterization (24). The N-terminal domains of DGCs and PDEs, which are PAS domains (106), showed significant similarity to oxygen- and redox-sensing domains found in a variety of bacterial signaling proteins (25). The C-terminal domains of G. xylinus DGCs and PDEs comprised a new protein domain, which has been designated the EAL domain, again based on the highly conserved sequence motif (Glu-Ala-Leu) near the start of this domain. Tal and colleagues concluded their 1998 Journal of Bacteriology paper as follows: “...if these regions are specifically associated with c-di-GMP metabolism, the possibility arises that c-di-GMP has wider significance as a regulatory molecule for processes other than cellulose synthesis” (25).

We know now that this prediction proved to be visionary. In a subsequent paper, the last one authored by Benziman, Ausmees and colleagues showed that cellulose biosynthesis in the plant symbiont Rhizobium leguminosarum solely required the GGDEF domain, but not necessarily the GGDEF-EAL tandem, suggesting the potential involvement of GGDEF in c-di-GMP production (33). Only a short time later, GGDEF and EAL domains were specifically coupled to c-di-GMP synthesis and breakdown, respectively, and c-di-GMP signaling was directly associated with the regulation of phenotypes other than cellulose biosynthesis in

### TABLE 1 Phylogenetic distribution of GGDEF, EAL, and HD-GYP domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial phylum</th>
<th>No. of proteins</th>
<th>GGDEF</th>
<th>EAL</th>
<th>GGDEF-EAL</th>
<th>HD-GYP</th>
<th>% of total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Well-sampled phyla</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidobacteria (7)</td>
<td>27,342</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinobacteria (177)</td>
<td>564,041</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquificae (10)</td>
<td>15,127</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroidetes (69)</td>
<td>190,793</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydiae (38)</td>
<td>23,262</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobi (11)</td>
<td>23,163</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroflexi (15)</td>
<td>43,101</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanobacteria (42)</td>
<td>129,836</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferribacteres (5)</td>
<td>9,669</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deinococcus-Thermus (16)</td>
<td>35,779</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firmicutes (437)</td>
<td>838,221</td>
<td>1,213</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusobacteria (5)</td>
<td>12,723</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planctomycetes (5)</td>
<td>24,772</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteobacteria (794)</td>
<td>2,283,662</td>
<td>7,029</td>
<td>2,461</td>
<td>4,867</td>
<td>1,453</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirochaetes (40)</td>
<td>76,276</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenericutes (37)</td>
<td>26,877</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermotogae (12)</td>
<td>21,587</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Poorly sampled phyla</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysiogenetes (1)</td>
<td>2,571</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dictyoglomi (2)</td>
<td>3,514</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elusimicrobia (2)</td>
<td>2,280</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrobacteres (1)</td>
<td>3,059</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemmatimonadetes (1)</td>
<td>3,891</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrospirae (2)</td>
<td>6,330</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synergistetes (5)</td>
<td>5,489</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermodesulfbacteria (2)</td>
<td>3,791</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verrucomicrobia (4)</td>
<td>12,206</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*a* The numbers in parentheses show the numbers of completely sequenced genomes from the respective phyla as of 1 January 2012. An updated version of this table with protein counts for representative genomes of 1,116 bacterial and archaeal species is available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Complete_Genomes/c-di-GMP.html.

*b* According to the NCBI Reference Sequences (RefSeq) database (8).

*c* Excluding proteins that contain both GGDEF and EAL domains.
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**BIOCHEMISTRY OF CYCLIC di-GMP SYNTHESIS, DEGRADATION, AND BINDING**

**Cyclic di-GMP Synthesis: the GGDEF Domain**

The observation that DGCs and PDEs from *G. xylinus* contained numerous GGDEF, EAL, and also HD-GYP domains (27, 34, 107), identified c-di-GMP as part of a potential new second messenger in bacteria and paved the way to studies of c-di-GMP-dependent signaling pathways in the 21st century.

---

**TABLE 2 The history of c-di-GMP: a timeline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>~220 BC, Qin dynasty in China</td>
<td>Reportedly the first use of the Kombucha “tea mushroom,” a symbiotic culture of yeast and acetobacteria which produces a thick cellulose pellicle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1946</td>
<td>First studies of bacterial cellulose synthesis at The Hebrew University</td>
<td>21, 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Discovery of c-di-GMP, its chemical synthesis, proof that c-di-GMP is the true activator of cellulose synthase</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Discovery that c-di-GMP suppresses replication of cancer cells</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Characterization of GGDEF domain in the <em>C. crescentus</em> response regulator PleD</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Characterization of DGC and c-di-GMP PDE genes (published in <em>Journal of Bacteriology</em>)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Characterization of the EAL domain protein BvgR in <em>Bordetella pertussis</em>, alignment of the EAL domains</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Description of the HD-GYP domain, proposal of a c-di-GMP-related novel signal transduction system</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Characterization of the GGDEF-containing response regulators PleD and CellR</td>
<td>28, 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Involvement of AdrA, a transmembrane protein with a C-terminal GGDEF domain, in intercellular adhesion</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Involvement of the HD-GYP domain protein RpfG in regulation of pathogenicity in <em>X. campestris</em></td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>The COG database identifies GGDEF, EAL, and HD-GYP domain genes in most bacteria but not in archaea</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Genetic proof that the GGDEF domain has DGC activity</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Detailed description of the GGDEF, EAL, and HD-GYP domains as components of bacterial signal transduction</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Binding of oxygen to its PAS domain regulates activity of the c-di-GMP PDE from <em>G. xylinus</em></td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Crystal structure of the GGDEF domain, experimental proof of its DGC activity, identification of the allosteric I site for feedback inhibition</td>
<td>36, 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Proposal that c-di-GMP is a universal second messenger</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>c-di-GMP involvement in pathogenesis of <em>Yersinia pestis</em> and <em>Vibrio cholerae</em></td>
<td>38–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>c-di-GMP and transition from sessility to motility</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>GGDEF-catalyzed c-di-GMP biosynthesis in various bacterial phyla</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Experimental proof of the PDE activity of the EAL domain</td>
<td>43–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Biofilm dispersal by c-di-GMP</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Description of the c-di-GMP-binding PilZ domain</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Description of global c-di-GMP network regulation by the stress sigma factor RpoS in <em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006–2007</td>
<td>Experimental proof that the PilZ domain binds c-di-GMP</td>
<td>50–52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006–2007</td>
<td>Characterization of GGDEF-EAL domain proteins in which both domains are enzymatically active</td>
<td>53, 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Description of immunostimulating activity of c-di-GMP</td>
<td>55–58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Discovery of a c-di-GMP-sensing riboswitch</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008–2010</td>
<td>Description of global c-di-GMP network regulation by the RNA-binding protein CsrA and the quorum sensing system</td>
<td>60–62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Crystal structure of the EAL domain</td>
<td>63–65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Discovery of the second c-di-GMP-sensing riboswitch</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Molecular mechanism of regulation of LapG proteolytic activity through the c-di-GMP receptor LapD</td>
<td>67, 68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011–2012</td>
<td>Identification and structural characterization of the first eukaryotic c-di-GMP receptor</td>
<td>69–77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Discovery of a c-di-GMP signaling system in the eukaryote Dictyostelium, a social amoeba</td>
<td>183a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different bacteria (37, 39, 41). This work, combined with the analysis of sequenced bacterial genomes that contained numerous GGDEF, EAL, and also HD-GYP domains (27, 34, 107), identified c-di-GMP as part of a potential new second messenger in bacteria and paved the way to studies of c-di-GMP-dependent signaling pathways in the 21st century.

---
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TABLE 3 Most common domain architectures involving GGDEF, EAL, and HD-GYP domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein category and domain organization</th>
<th>Phylogenetic distribution</th>
<th>Total no. of proteins(^a)</th>
<th>Characterized example (reference)(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasmic sensor proteins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAS-GGDEF</td>
<td>Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, Spirochaetes</td>
<td>3,346</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAS-GGDEF-EAL</td>
<td>Actinobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria</td>
<td>5,855</td>
<td>35, 89, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAF-GGDEF</td>
<td>Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Chloroflexi, Cyanobacteria, Proteobacteria</td>
<td>1,351</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAF-GGDEF-EAL</td>
<td>Actinobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Deinococcus-Thermus, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globin-GGDEF</td>
<td>Proteobacteria</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>E. coli DosP (89), Bordetella pertussis, GReg (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response regulators(^c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC-GGDEF (WspR family)</td>
<td>Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Chloroflexi, Cyanobacteria, Nitrospirae, Proteobacteria, Spirochaetes, Thermotogae</td>
<td>2,022</td>
<td>P. aeruginosa WspR (92–95), B. burgdorferi Rrp1 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC-REC-GGDEF (PleD family)</td>
<td>Alphaproteobacteria, Deferribacteres, Thermotogae</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>C. crescentus PleD (24, 28, 36, 37, 86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC-EAL (PvrR family)</td>
<td>Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Proteobacteria, Spirochaetes</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>P. aeruginosa PvrR (96, 97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC-HD-GYP (RpfG family)</td>
<td>Chloroflexi, Cyanobacteria, Nitrospirae, Proteobacteria, Spirochaetes, Thermotogae</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>X. campestris RpfG (98, 99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC-GGDEF-EAL</td>
<td>Chloroflexi, Cyanobacteria, Nitrospirae, Proteobacteria, Spirochaetes</td>
<td>401</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC-PAS-GGDEF-EAL (FimX family)</td>
<td>Nitrospirae, Proteobacteria</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>P. aeruginosa FimX (85, 100, 101)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) In the NCBI's RefSeq database (8), according to the CDART tool (102).
\(^b\) NA, not available.
\(^c\) Family names were assigned as described previously (103, 104), after the first characterized (or best-studied) protein with the same domain architecture, as follows: WspR (93–95), PleD (24, 37), PvrR (96, 97), RpfG (31, 99), and FimX (101).

The first biochemical evidence solidifying this connection came from a study by Paul et al. (37), who showed that the phosphorylated form of PleD converts GTP into c-di-GMP in vitro. This was also observed by Hickman et al. (93) and Ryjenkov et al. (42). The latter study analyzed in vitro activities of six different GGDEF domain enzymes originating from representatives of diverse branches of the bacterial phylogenetic tree, including Alpha- and Gammaproteobacteria as well as Thermotogae, Deinococcus-Thermus, Cyanobacteria, and Spirochaetes. All of these GGDEF domain proteins possessed DGC activity and were incapable of utilizing nucleotide substrates other than GTP. Therefore, the ubiquity and evolutionary conservation of c-di-GMP envisioned earlier (25) were established experimentally (Fig. 2).

How do GGDEF domain proteins catalyze c-di-GMP formation? The early insights into this question were obtained by Benziman and colleagues (1), who revealed that c-di-GMP formation from 2 molecules of GTP is a two-step reaction proceeding via 5′-pppGpG as a reaction intermediate (Fig. 2). Two molecules of pyrophosphate are reaction by-products. A further mechanistic understanding of c-di-GMP synthesis came from the biochemical and structural characterization of DGCs.

The apparent similarity of DGCs to type III nucleotide cyclases, as well as the dinucleotide nature of c-di-GMP, implied that GGDEF domains function as homodimers, where two monomers come together to form an active site at the dimer interface (112). Each GGDEF monomer contributes a GTP substrate to the formation of an intermolecular phosphoester bond to another molecule of GTP. It was observed that purified GGDEF domains by themselves form homodimers and, at high concentrations, show low-level DGC activity. This activity is significantly, usually 1 to 2 orders of magnitude, lower than the DGC activity of the full-length proteins. The prevailing activity of stand-alone GGDEF domains is a GTPase activity (42). In practice, even low DGC activity of purified GGDEF domains can serve as an indicator of whether or not the full-length proteins possess DGC activity. This is particularly useful when the full-length proteins either are recalcitrant to purification or display no activity because their activating signals are missing in vitro.

The pioneering collaborative work of Jenal’s and Schirmer’s groups produced crystal structures of the C. crescentus PleD protein, which provided valuable insights into the active and inactive conformations of DGCs and potential modes of enzyme activation, substrate binding, catalytic mechanism, and product inhibition (36, 86). PleD is composed of two response regulator receiver domains, REC, linked to a GGDEF domain, i.e., REC-REC-GGDEF (Table 3). The two GGDEF domains form an antiparallel homodimer (for an in-depth review of the structures of c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes and receptors, see reference 14).

The active site, or A site, of the GGDEF domain is involved in GTP binding. Probing this site with the nonhydrolyzable GTP analog GTPγS revealed residues that bind to the β- and γ-phosphates and to the guanine base and helped to explain the specificity of the GGDEF domains for GTP (as opposed to ATP). Two Mg\(^{2+}\) or Mn\(^{2+}\) cations are required for phosphoester bond formation. The GG(D/E)EF signature motif (Fig. 3A, 4A, and 5A) forms a β-hairpin, consistent with the prediction from structural modeling (109). The first two (Gly) residues of this motif are involved in GTP binding, while the fourth residue (Glu) is involved in metal ion coordination. The third amino acid of the signature motif (Asp/Glu) is indispensable for catalysis and also plays a role in metal coordination (36, 86).

Since it has proved difficult to capture an active cyclase homodimer in action, the catalytic mechanism of c-di-GMP formation remains murky. One important conclusion stemming from
these structures is that most likely no large conformational changes in the GTP-binding half-sites of the GGDEF domains take place during catalysis (14), and therefore, the reason that GGDEF domains display DGC activity is that they come together and form a catalytically competent homodimer. This suggests that regulatory interactions that keep the GGDEF domains physically separated from each other would prevent their DGC activity.

Two mechanisms appear to affect formation of the catalytically competent GGDEF homodimer. One involves conformational rearrangements in response to changes in the sensory domains linked to the GGDEF domains. While biochemical evidence for activation of DGCs by various primary signals is growing, no structural information is currently available on how GGDEF domains are activated by environmental signals. However, DGC activation by secondary mechanisms derived from primary signals, e.g., protein phosphorylation, has been revealed using biochemical and structural biology approaches. Complex domain and protein subunit rearrangements that bring the GGDEF domains in close proximity have been observed by comparing X-ray structures of the (pseudo)phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated states of PleD and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* WspR (PA3702; REC-GGDEF domain architecture) (86, 92). Phosphorylation is a common (Table 3) and powerful mechanism for GGDEF domain activation. For example, the sole DGC (REC-GGDEF) of the pathogenic spirochete *Borrelia burgdorferi*, Rrp1 (BB_0419), is completely inactive in vitro until its REC domain is phosphorylated (42).

The second mechanism affecting activation/inactivation of DGCs involves feedback inhibition. The PleD protein crystallized in the presence of c-di-GMP revealed a product-inhibited conformation where a base-intercalated dimer of c-di-GMP molecules (Fig. 1C and D) is bound to the inhibitory (I) site (36, 113). A four-residue motif constituting the I site, RxxD (where “x” is any residue), is positioned five amino acids upstream of the GG(D/E)EF motif. Despite primary sequence proximity between the I and A sites, they are located antipodal to each other (36, 86) (Fig. 5A). Additional residues coordinating binding of the c-di-GMP...
GMP dimer to the I site come either from the regulatory domain, as in PleD (86), or from the GGDEF domain of another protein monomer, as in WspR or PleD (92). This allows the intercalated c-di-GMP dimer to block the GGDEF domain movement required for formation of the catalytically competent homodimer. The inhibition constant for DGCs containing the I site is in the low micromolar range. Therefore, the likely purpose of product inhibition is to limit the time of the (desired) c-di-GMP target activation and/or to prevent c-di-GMP spill to undesired downstream targets.

The I site is found in approximately half of the GGDEF domain DGCs (114) (Table 4). Are enzymes lacking I sites subject to product inhibition? Apparently some are. A recently solved structure of the GGDEF domain from the XCC4471 protein (locus tag XCC3486) of the plant pathogen Xanthomonas campestris may have an answer to the question of how DGCs lacking I sites can still be product inhibited. XCC4471 has been captured with a semi-intercalated c-di-GMP dimer in the A site (117). Therefore, whereas many DGCs contain I sites and are inhibited noncompetitively, some DGCs that do not contain I sites may be inhibited competitively by c-di-GMP bound to their A sites. How widespread the competitive inhibition of DGCs is remains unknown at present.

Cyclic di-GMP Hydrolysis: the EAL Domain

Since GGDEF domains function in c-di-GMP synthesis, it followed that EAL domains must be responsible for c-di-GMP hydrolysis. However, it was unclear whether or not EAL domains are sufficient for the c-di-GMP-specific PDE activity or whether both GGDEF and EAL domains are necessary. Like the case with DGCs, Benziman and coworkers laid the groundwork for PDE research. They purified PDEs from G. xylinus and showed that these proteins hydrolyze c-di-GMP into linear di-GMP, i.e., 5′-pGpG. The c-di-GMP-specific PDE activity required either Mn\(^{2+}\)/H\(^{1000+}\) or Mg\(^{2+}\)/H\(^{1000+}\) and was strongly inhibited by Ca\(^{2+}\)/H\(^{1000+}\). The product of c-di-GMP hydrolysis, 5′-pGpG, was subsequently degraded to monomeric pG, apparently by different enzymes that had Ca\(^{2+}\)-independent activity (79).

Simm et al. (41) and Tischler and Camilli (39) provided strong pieces of genetic evidence that the EAL domains are sufficient for c-di-GMP-specific hydrolysis by showing that overexpressed EAL domain proteins inhibit biofilm phenotypes. Biochemical evidence that PDE activity is associated with the EAL domains was obtained shortly thereafter. Bobrov et al. (43) used a nonspecific PDE substrate, bis(p-nitrophenyl) phosphate, to show that the purified EAL domain protein HmsP from Yersinia pestis can break it down. Schmidt et al. (45) used the Escherichia coli EAL domain protein YahA as well as individual EAL domains from YahA and Dos (recently renamed DosP [89]) to show that EAL domains hydrolyze c-di-GMP and that this activity is c-di-GMP specific. Several phosphoester- and phosphodiester-containing compounds tested, including cyclic AMP (cAMP), were unaffected. The EAL domain was found to be capable of hydrolyzing 5′-pGpG, however, at a rate that was much lower than the rate of c-di-GMP hydrolysis. Therefore, in vivo 5′-pGpG is likely hydrolyzed not by the EAL domain PDEs but by alternative enzymes (Fig. 2) (also see “Open Questions in c-di-GMP Signaling”). The biochemical parameters of c-di-GMP hydrolysis, i.e., dependence on Mn\(^{2+}\) or Mg\(^{2+}\) and strong sensitivity to inhibitory Ca\(^{2+}\) cations (45), were consistent with the observations made earlier in the Benziman lab for preparations of G. xylinus c-di-GMP PDEs.
Therefore, these features are common to hydrolysis by the EAL domain PDEs. Simultaneously with Schmidt et al. (45), the in vitro activities of the EAL domain proteins were reported by other groups (44, 46), thus solidifying the connection between EAL and the c-di-GMP-specific PDE activity. Unlike GGDEF domains, which must function as homodimers, EAL domains appear to retain some PDE activity as monomers (45). However, the vast majority of EAL domain PDEs characterized thus far form dimers or higher-order oligomers in vitro (54, 63, 65, 118). The dimeric state appears to be critical for activation of PDEs by environmental stimuli (119, 120). Therefore, a dimer is the most probable functional unit of the EAL domain engaged in c-di-GMP hydrolysis in vivo.

Structures of several c-di-GMP PDEs have now been solved (63–65, 67, 85, 121). The structural work of Barends et al. (63) provided rich information about the c-di-GMP binding site, catalytic mechanism, pH dependence, choice of catalytic cations, inhibition by Ca²⁺/H⁺, and mechanisms of activation by environmental stimuli. These authors crystallized the BLUF-EAL protein BlrP1 (KPN_01598) from Klebsiella pneumoniae, whose PDE activity is upregulated by blue light sensed via the flavin-containing BLUF domain (122, 123). The two antiparallel EAL domains of BlrP1 interact through three helices: one from each EAL domain and one “compound” helix made of two shorter helices originating from each of the EAL domains. c-di-GMP in the EAL domains is present in an extended (open) conformation (Fig. 1A).
fers from the bent, U-shaped (closed) conformation of c-di-GMP observed in the I sites of DGCs and c-di-GMP receptors (Fig. 1C). The extended conformation likely facilitates hydrolysis of one of the phosphoester bonds in c-di-GMP.

PDEs operating on cyclic mononucleotides typically use a two-metal catalytic mechanism (124). Consistent with this expectation, BlrP1 was found to bind c-di-GMP through two metal ions. While the issue of whether c-di-GMP hydrolysis involves a two- or one-metal mechanism has been somewhat controversial (64, 125), this controversy has now been resolved. Two-metal catalysis (63) appears to be the only catalytic mechanism of c-di-GMP hydrolysis by the EAL domain PDEs (65). Those EAL domain proteins that were crystallized with a single cation turned out to be enzymatically inactive.

The activity of the EAL domain proteins depends on the structure of a two-metal cation cluster in which the metals coordinate two water molecules, one of which is involved in a hydrolytic attack on a phosphoester bond of c-di-GMP. A higher pH and Mn$^{2+}$/H$^{+}$ promote optimal bond lengths in the metal-water cluster, whereas a lower pH and Mg$^{2+}$/H$^{+}$ distort the cluster away from the optimum required for catalysis. In BlrP1, blue light-induced conformational changes in the BLUF domain of one monomer affect the EAL-EAL dimer interface such that this optimizes the metal-water cluster configuration in the EAL domain of a partner monomer, thus stimulating its PDE activity. Ca$^{2+}$ distorts the distances within the cluster, which explains its strong inhibitory effect. The

### FIG 5 Structural organization of the active sites of cyclic di-GMP-related molecules.

The upper row shows enzymes of c-di-GMP metabolism, and the lower row shows c-di-GMP-binding proteins and riboswitches. The residues highlighted in Fig. 3 and 4 are shown with the same numbers. Residue coloring is as in Fig. 1, except that carbon atoms of GTPγS and c-di-GMP are in silver, and Mg and Fe atoms are shown as pink spheres. (A) Active site of the GGDEF domain of PleD with the bound substrate analog GTPγS (PDB entry 2V0N) (86). The catalytic Asp/Glu$^{81}$ residue is shown in gold, Gly$^{79}$ and Gly$^{80}$ of the GGDEF motif are in silver, and Arg$^{70}$ and Asp$^{73}$ of the RxxD motif in the allosteric inhibitory I site (36) are shown in red. (B) Active site of the EAL domain of Tbd1265 with bound c-di-GMP (PDB entry 3N3T) (65). Gly$^{31}$ and Leu$^{33}$ residues of the EAL motif are shown in gold. (C) Active site of the HD-GYP domain of Bd1817 with bound c-di-GMP (PDB entry 3TM8) (129). His$^{38}$, Asp$^{39}$, Gly$^{101}$, and Pro$^{103}$ of the HD and GYP motifs are shown in gold (Tyr$^{102}$ is missing in Bd1817). (D) c-di-GMP binding site of the PilZ domain of PA4608 (PDB entry 2L74) (82). For simplicity, one of the c-di-GMP molecules is shown only as lines. (E) c-di-GMP bound to riboswitch I (PDB entry 3IRW) (75). (F) c-di-GMP bound to riboswitch II (PDB entry 3Q3Z) (76). (G) c-di-GMP bound to the stimulator of interferon genes STING (PDB entry 4EMT) (74; see references 70 to 77 for further details). The figure was generated with PyMOL (Schrödinger, LLC).

### TABLE 4 Conservation of active site residues in GGDEF domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A-site motif$^a$</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Count (%)$^b$</th>
<th>No. (%) of proteins with RxxD in I site$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RxGGDEF</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11,327 (40.8)</td>
<td>5,815 (51.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RxGGEEF</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9,063 (32.6)</td>
<td>5,066 (55.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RxSGDEF</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>462 (1.7)</td>
<td>146 (31.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RxAGDEF</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>428 (1.5)</td>
<td>194 (45.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HxSGGDEF</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>320 (1.2)</td>
<td>14 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QxSGYDF</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>228 (0.8)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RxHRSDF</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>218 (0.8)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RxGSDF</td>
<td>No$^d$</td>
<td>165 (0.6)</td>
<td>47 (28.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RxGGEEL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>157 (0.6)</td>
<td>112 (71.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RxEGEVF</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>133 (0.5)</td>
<td>122 (91.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Activity data are as described previously (38, 94, 115). The RxGGDEF motif appears to tolerate a large variety of residues in the second (x) position, whereas the work reported in reference 94 suggests that the GGGEF motif is active only in the RYGGEEF variant, which is found in ~1/3 of RxGGEEF contexts. A mutant variant of the Yersinia pestis HmsT protein with the RYAGGEF active site motif was inactive (38).

$^b$ Number of occurrences of the motif among 27,782 full-length sequences of the GGDEF (PF00990) domain listed in the 26th release (November 2011) of the Pfam database (116).

$^c$ Twenty-six percent of HxGGDDF motif proteins have either I or V in the second position and DxxD in the I site; QxSGYDF motif proteins have either I or V in the second position and either SxxM (64.5%), AxxM (32.9%), or PxxM (2.6%) in the I site; and RxHRSDF motif proteins always have Y in the second position and either MxxA (66.5%) or MxxS (32.6%) in the I site.

$^d$ A mutant variant of the Yersinia pestis HmsT protein with the RYAGEEF active site motif was inactive (38).
BlrP1 structure (63) and mutagenesis work (65, 118, 125) helped to explain the nature of the conserved amino acid motifs (Fig. 3B) identified earlier (34) and used to distinguish enzymatically active from inactive EAL domains (45). Most of these conserved motifs proved to be involved in c-di-GMP binding or in two-metal catalysis (Fig. 4B and 5B). It is noteworthy that the EAL motif is directly involved in coordination of one of the metals (63, 65), which explains its 100% conservation in the active enzymes.

Cyclic di-GMP Hydrolysis: the HD-GYP Domain

The HD-GYP domain is a subset of the larger HD family, whose members possess hydrolytic activities toward diverse substrates (27, 126). HD-GYP was predicted to have c-di-GMP-specific PDE activity primarily because of the frequent linkage between the GGDEF and HD-GYP domains, reminiscent of the GGDEF-EAL tandems (27, 34). Ryan et al. (99) used the HD-GYP domain protein RpG from X. campescis (XC_2335) to test the hypothesis that the HD-GYP domain is involved in c-di-GMP degradation. When expressed in a heterologous host, RpG functionally replaced an EAL domain phosphodiesterase. When it was purified, it had c-di-GMP-specific PDE activity. Interestingly, the main product of c-di-GMP hydrolysis by RpG was GMP, not 5'-pGpG, the product of the EAL domain PDEs (Fig. 2). It is therefore possible that the HD-GYP domain PDEs either do not release the 5'-pGpG intermediate or readily rebind the released product for its full hydrolysis to GMP. It is also possible that 5'-pGpG was not detected in the original experiment because of the long reaction time and/or RpG functioning as a dimer (99), so earlier time points in c-di-GMP hydrolysis by the HD-GYP domain may need to be analyzed to clarify the significance of the apparent difference between the products of EAL and HD-GYP PDEs.

The genetic evidence supporting engagement of HD-GYP proteins in c-di-GMP hydrolysis, in addition to Xanthomonas PDEs, includes representatives from Pseudomonas and Borrelia (127, 128). However, biochemical data on HD-GYP proteins remain scarce. Thus far, the HD-GYP domain proteins have resisted crystallization, and no structure of the active HD-GYP domain has been determined. Mechanistic insights into c-di-GMP hydrolysis by HD-GYP PDEs began to emerge only recently, when the first structure of an HD-GYP domain protein, Bd1817, from the bacterial predator Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus, was solved by Lovering et al. (129). The HD-GYP domain of Bd1817 has no enzymatic activity, possibly because it lacks a conserved tyrosine in the GYP motif, and does not appear to bind c-di-GMP in vitro (129). However, the structure of Bd1817 (Fig. 5C) still proved instructive. It showed several conserved residues of the HD-GYP family grouping around the binuclear metal center, where the catalytic metals are likely to be either Fe2+ or Mn2+. Furthermore, Lovering et al. modeled the protein with c-di-GMP and proposed a catalytic mechanism involving a water-derived hydroxide ion attack on the c-di-GMP phosphoester bond. While this model yielded important insights, more mechanistic studies are clearly needed to understand c-di-GMP hydrolysis by the HD-GYP domain PDEs.

Proteins with GGDEF and EAL or HD-GYP Domains Arranged in Tandem

The “enzymatic conundrum.” Genomic analyses show that GGDEF and EAL domains are often found on the same polypeptide chain as parts of multidomain proteins. As discussed above, the very first identified DGCS and PDEs of G. xylinus contained GGDEF-EAL domains arranged in tandem, but they had either DGC or PDE activity (25, 35, 130), implying that one of the two domains in each enzyme was catalytically inactive. It is noteworthy that the sheer number of GGDEF-EAL tandems is huge, e.g., as many as ~1/3 of all GGDEF domains and ~2/3 of all EAL domains are found on the same polypeptide chains (114; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Complete_Genomes/c-di-GMP.html). Since the GGDEF domain is fully capable of DGC activity and either an EAL or HD-GYP domain is capable of c-di-GMP hydrolysis, why do so many proteins contain GGDEF-EAL and GGDEF–HD-GYP tandems (Table 1 and Fig. 2)?

Theoretically, two possibilities exist that may explain the “enzymatic conundrum” of proteins containing two domains with opposite enzymatic activities. One scenario is that while both domains are enzymatically active, they are differentially regulated by environmental and/or intracellular signals so that at any given point one activity is prevalent. The precedents of bifunctional signaling enzymes are well known and include protein His kinases/phosphatases of two-component regulatory systems (131) and the SpoT proteins, catalyzing synthesis and degradation of the bacterial alarmone (p)ppGpp (132). While almost half of all GGDEF-EAL proteins reportedly have intact active sites (114), only a few examples of truly bifunctional DGCS/PDEs have been described so far (54); some of these are discussed below.

By far more common is the situation where one of the two domains is enzymatically inactive or catalytically incompetent (44, 45). These “retired from active duty” domains have evolved to carry out new functions. One of these functions may involve binding (but not processing) of the substrate, e.g., GTP binding in the A sites of inactive GGDEF domains (44) or c-di-GMP binding in the substrate binding sites of enzymatically inactive EAL domains (85, 101, 133). Another set of functions of GGDEF, EAL, and HD-GYP domains that have “retired” from catalysis includes their participation in protein–protein or protein–RNA interactions. According to genomic analysis, mutations predicted to impair DGC activity are present in ~40% of the GGDEF domains in proteins containing GGDEF-EAL modules (114). Some of the GGDEF, EAL, and HD-GYP proteins have completely lost their ties to c-di-GMP and represent “detours” from the main stream c-di-GMP signaling pathways (Fig. 2). Several examples of these “retired” domains and “detours” are discussed in detail throughout this review.

Bifunctional enzymes with tandemly arranged GGDEF and EAL domains. One of the few bifunctional proteins that contain enzymatically active GGDEF and EAL domains arranged in tandem is Rhodobacter sphaeroides BphG1 (RSP_4191), a bacterio-phytochrome with a PAS-GAF-PHY photosensory module linked to a GGDEF-EAL output (54). The photosensory module binds a bilin chromophore and responds to red/near-infrared light in a reversible manner. However, despite light sensitivity of the photoreceptor module, the output PDE activity of BphG1 proved to be insensitive to irradiation (54). It was observed that BphG1 overexpressed in E. coli underwent site-specific proteolysis that released the C-terminal EAL domain. Interestingly, the truncated PAS-GAF-PHY-GGDEF protein fragment lacking the EAL domain gained DGC activity, which was strongly activated by light. In this rather eccentric, apparently irreversible regulation, a constitutive PDE activity turns into the opposing, DGC, activity, which is responsive to light. It is unclear as yet whether proteolysis

March 2013 Volume 77 Number 1

Cyclic di-GMP, a Universal Bacterial Second Messenger

Downloaded from http://mmbr.asm.org on December 8, 2017 by guest
occurs in the native host, *R. sphaeroides*, and what controls the extent of proteolysis.

It cannot be excluded that instead of proteolysis, the switch between two opposite activities of BphG1 in *R. sphaeroides* is controlled by proteins interacting with BphG1, as is the case with another bifunctional GGDEF–EAL protein, ScrC (VPA1511) from *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* (134). ScrC has an N-terminal periplasmic sensor domain linked to a GGDEF–EAL module. The scrC gene belongs to the *scrABC* operon, which regulates the switch between motile swarmer cells and sessile biofilm cells producing capsular polysaccharide (135). When expressed by itself, ScrC shows DGC activity. However, this is switched to PDE activity in the presence of ScrC’s protein partners, ScrA (VPA1513) and ScrB (VPA1512) (134). At high cell densities, the periplasmic domain of ScrB binds a novel autoinducer, which stimulates its interaction with ScrC and facilitates the DGC-to-PDE switch in ScrC (136).

The *Mycobacterium smegmatis* cytoplasmic protein MSDGC-1 (MSM203_196), which has a GAF–GGDEF–EAL domain architecture, has been shown to both synthesize and hydrolyze c-di-GMP *in vitro* (137). MSDGC-1 is widespread in the genus *Mycobacterium* and is the only functional DGC in *M. smegmatis*, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (locus tag Rv1354c), and *Mycobacterium bovis* (Mb1389c). Given the requirement of c-di-GMP for long-term mycobacterial survival under conditions of nutrient starvation (138), it will be important to understand the mechanism that regulates its DGC and PDE activities.

In the Lpl0329 protein from *Legionella pneumophila*, a phosphorylation-based switch appears to control the relative contributions of the DGC and PDE activities. Lpl0329 contains a receiver domain, REC, of the two-component regulatory systems linked to a GGDEF–EAL tandem (139). The atypical histidine kinase Lpl0330 phosphorylates Lpl0329, which lowers the DGC activity of the protein but leaves the PDE activity unaffected. The physiological significance of this phosphorylation-based switch in *L. pneumophila*, as well as the mechanisms and functions of bifunctional DGC/PDE enzymes from other bacteria, has yet to be investigated.

**Active versus degenerate domains.** The availability of high-resolution crystal structures of GGDEF, EAL, and HD–GYP domains combined with site-directed mutagenesis studies allowed the formulation of general rules for distinguishing domains that are likely to be enzymatically active versus degenerate, inactive domains (Fig. 3 and 4). In the GGDEF domain, the active site includes the catalytic Asp/Glu residue surrounded on each side by two strongly conserved residues, which together form the eponymous 78GG/D/E83 sequence motif in the A site (113) (residue numbering is from the GGDEF domain model in the NCBI’s Conserved Domain Database [140]) (Fig. 3A, 4A, and 5A). In addition, the active site includes the Asp38 residue, which binds Mg2+, and Asn46 and Asp55, which bind the guanine base (36). Early studies suggested an absolute requirement of all five residues of the GG(D/E)EF motif for DGC activity (38). A detailed study of the *P. aeruginosa* response regulator WspR revealed an additional requirement for the Arg77 and Tyr78 residues immediately preceding this motif (94) (Fig. 4A and 5A; Table 4). However, subsequently, more relaxed residue conservation requirements were observed (115). It is possible that the RYGGEEF active site motif found in the PleD, WspR, and HmsT proteins does indeed require strict conservation of all residues surrounding the catalytic Glu81 residue (38, 94). For example, a mutant variant of *Y. pestis* HmsT with an RYAGEEF active site motif is inactive (38). In contrast, an RxGDF motif with a catalytic Asp81 residue may accommodate several different hydrophobic residues in the “x” position. In addition, it apparently retains some DGC activity even when the first Gly is replaced with Ala or Ser (115).

In the well-studied *C. crescentus* protein CC3396 (PAS–GGDEF–EAL domain composition), a GGDEF domain with a degenerate GGDEF motif in the A site had no DGC activity but was still able to bind GTP with a high affinity (Kd = 4 μM) and to regulate the PDE activity of the downstream EAL domain (44). GTP binding by this domain dramatically increased the affinity of the EAL domain for its substrate, bringing the Kd for c-di-GMP from the physiologically irrelevant level of ~100 μM to the physiologically relevant level of 0.42 μM. Therefore, this degenerate GGDEF domain may serve a structural role, and possibly even a regulatory role, under extreme starvation conditions when the GTP concentration drops to very low, micromolar levels.

The requirements for the c-di-GMP-specific PDE activity of the EAL domains have been studied in much detail through sequence comparisons, X-ray crystallography, and mutagenesis of the key residues (45, 63–65, 118, 125). The availability of high-resolution X-ray structures and the understanding of the mechanism of c-di-GMP hydrolysis discussed earlier in this review (63, 65) resulted in identification of the sets of residues involved in c-di-GMP binding as well as in coordination of catalytic Mg2+ or Mn2+ cations (Fig. 4B and 5B; Table 5). Analysis of the EAL domains from various bacterial genomes suggested that ~85% of them are enzymatically active (114) (Table 5).

Thus far, little biochemical work has been done on DGCGs containing GGDEF–EAL tandems, and therefore the functions of the enzymatically inactive EAL domains present in such proteins remain largely unknown. Our unpublished data on the *G. xylinus* DgcA1 (GLX_04270) protein (25) revealed that deletion of the enzymatically inactive EAL domain destroys the DGC activity of the protein, which suggests that degenerate EAL domains in GGDEF–EAL proteins may have structural or important regulatory functions.

**GGDEF–HD–GYP proteins.** Although less numerous than GGDEF–EAL domain fusions, fusions of the GGDEF and HD–GYP domains are also widespread in bacteria, particularly among the Actinobacteria, Deinococci, Firmicutes, and Planctomycetes (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Complete_Genomes/c-di-GMP.html). As noted

---

### TABLE 5 Conservation of active site residues in EAL domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active site residue&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>89</th>
<th>121</th>
<th>124</th>
<th>151</th>
<th>172</th>
<th>208</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Count (%)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13,821</td>
<td>(85.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>(0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>(0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>(0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Residue numbering is from the EAL domain entry (cd01948) in the NCBI Conserved Domain Database (CDD) (140) and corresponds to the E175, N584, E616, E620, D646, K667, and E703 residues in the *Thiobacillus denitrificans* protein TBD1263 (65). Activity data are from references 65 and 118.

<sup>b</sup> Number of occurrences of the residue combination among 16,211 full-length sequences of the EAL (PF00563) domain listed in the 26th release (November 2011) of the Pfam database (116).
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above, analysis of such a fusion in Aquifex aeolicus provided the first clue to the involvement of the HD-GYP domain in c-di-GMP metabolism (27, 34). While no such proteins have been characterized experimentally so far, they may follow the same logic of the interplay between enzymatically active and inactive domains as the GGDEF-EAL tandem proteins.

**Types of c-di-GMP Receptors**

Many bacterial species contain several dozen enzymes involved in c-di-GMP synthesis and breakdown (34, 141). While these enzymes are readily identifiable due to the characteristic GGDEF, EAL, and HD-GYP domains, identifying proteins that function as c-di-GMP receptors/effectors based on sequence information proved to be more challenging. We now know that c-di-GMP binds to diverse classes of proteins, many of which have no sequence or structural similarity to each other. Not surprisingly, at present, we know much less about c-di-GMP receptors and targets regulated by c-di-GMP than about c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes. Fortunately, this situation is rapidly changing, as the number of c-di-GMP-binding proteins has been growing rapidly (as summarized in recent reviews [15, 142, 143]). In addition to acting through protein receptors, c-di-GMP has been shown to bind to two types of riboswitches (Fig. 3 and 5E and F).

Several classes of c-di-GMP receptors have been predicted based on primary sequences. These include PilZ domain receptors, I-site receptors, inactive EAL domain receptors, and likely HD-GYP domain receptors. There are also less predictable or unpredictable c-di-GMP receptors, which include transcriptional regulators of various kinds and proteins of diverse and unrelated functions that are just beginning to be discovered.

**PilZ domain c-di-GMP receptors.** The first c-di-GMP protein receptor type, designated the PilZ domain, was predicted by Amikam and Galperin (48) to be part of the glycosyltransferase protein of the G. xylinus cellulose synthase complex. While c-di-GMP was indeed extracted from the membrane preparations of cellulose synthase, and c-di-GMP added exogenously to the washed membrane preparations could stimulate cellulose synthase activity (1, 78, 79), the identity of the c-di-GMP-binding protein in G. xylinus has been somewhat controversial (144, 145). Amikam and Galperin noticed that an approximately 100-amino-acid C terminus of the glycosyltransferase BcsA subunit forms a separate protein domain that is also present downstream of some EAL or GGDEF-EAL proteins, thus making it a good candidate for a c-di-GMP binding domain. The domain name originated from the P. aeruginosa PilZ (PA2960) protein, which consists exclusively of this domain and is involved in pilus formation (146).

Soon after that, c-di-GMP binding to the PilZ domain was verified experimentally. The C terminus of G. xylinus BcsA, the PilZ domain protein YcgR from E. coli (52), the DgrA protein from C. crescentus (51), and the Vibrio cholerae PilZ domain proteins PlzC and PlzD (147) were shown to bind c-di-GMP in vitro with high specificity, demonstrating that the PilZ domain was indeed the long-sought-after c-di-GMP receptor. The ability of PilZ domains to bind c-di-GMP in vitro has now been demonstrated for PilZ domain proteins of numerous bacterial species (147–149).

YcgR and DgrA showed submicromolar affinities for c-di-GMP, which is consistent with intracellular c-di-GMP concentrations, which have been estimated to be in the sub- to low-micromolar range (37, 41, 145). It is noteworthy that PilZ domain c-di-GMP receptors appear to have the highest affinities for c-di-GMP compared to the majority of subsequently discovered c-di-GMP protein receptors, whose Kd values usually fall into the low- to medium-micromolar range.

Several X-ray and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) structures of the PilZ domain receptors have now been solved (50, 82, 83, 150, 151). These studies have confirmed the bioinformatic (48) and biochemical (52) prediction that two short stretches of residues comprising the PilZ domain consensus, RxxxRX30–31(D/Y)xx(S/A)xxG, are involved in c-di-GMP binding (Fig. 3D and 5D). In addition, the structures have revealed that the RxxxR motif is a primary binding loop that wraps around c-di-GMP and likely brings the remainder of the consensus residues, located on distant structural elements, in closer proximity. Cyclic di-GMP functions as an interdomain (or intermolecular) glue that brings together two protein moieties, and this initiates downstream signaling events. Unexpectedly, c-di-GMP can bind to the PilZ domain proteins in more than one way, i.e., either as an intercalated dimer (52, 83, 150) or as a monomer in the closed conformation (50). Furthermore, PilZ domain proteins were found to adopt different oligomeric states (Fig. 1), from monomeric to tetrameric (82, 83, 87, 152), indicating potentially different modes of downstream signal transduction.

While PilZ domain proteins are widespread c-di-GMP receptors, their numbers vary dramatically among species and do not directly correlate with the number of c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Complete_Genomes/c-di-GMP.html). For example, E. coli has 29 GGDEF/EAL domain proteins and only 2 PilZ domain proteins, BcsA and YcgR (52), while B. bacteriovorus has 12 GGDEF/EAL/HD-GYP domain proteins but 15 PilZ domain proteins (153), which is close to the record among bacteria (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Complete_Genomes/c-di-GMP.html). Approximately half of all PilZ domain proteins comprise stand-alone PilZ domains with short N-terminal extensions. The other half contain PilZ domains bound to other protein domains, including type 2 glycosyltransferases (as in BcsA), PilZ/N (YcgR_N) domains, response regulator (REC) domains, methyl-accepting protein (MCP) domains, DNA binding domains, adenylyl/guanylyl cyclases, and other domains. Some of the better-characterized PilZ domain proteins, involved in motility regulation (51, 52, 148, 154–156), polysaccharide synthesis and translocation (18, 149, 157), and DNA binding (120, 158), are described in this review. It is clear that the PilZ domain functions as a versatile module that can regulate diverse activities in a c-di-GMP-dependent manner.

Similarly to the enzymatic domains associated with c-di-GMP, PilZ domains come in “active” and “inactive” varieties, where inactivity means a lack of c-di-GMP binding. The inactive PilZ domain proteins are quite common (159). Ironically, the eponymous PilZ protein from P. aeruginosa also belongs to this category (160).

**Sites and enzymatically inactive EAL and HD-GYP domains as c-di-GMP receptors.** Given that some bacterial species containing c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes do not carry any PilZ domain proteins (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Complete_Genomes/c-di-GMP.html), it has been obvious that non-PilZ domain c-di-GMP receptors must exist (48). Genetic evidence confirmed this prediction. For example, deletion of all PilZ domain-encoding genes in V. cholerae did not abolish the effect of...
c-di-GMP on colony morphology (161). What do non-PilZ c-di-GMP receptors look like?

The most obvious candidate for such a receptor was the GGDEF domain, with its allosteric c-di-GMP-binding I site (36, 113), so even catalytically inactive GGDEF domains could still serve as c-di-GMP receptors (4, 162). A general scenario applicable to many systems has been that when enzymes “retire from active duty” (i.e., lose their catalytic functions), they often retain the ability to bind their substrates and/or products and can function as substrate/product-binding proteins.

This concept fully applies to catalytically incompetent GGDEF domain proteins. Having lost the enzymatic activity and the characteristic GGDEF motif, these proteins often retain their product-inhibiting I site, the first identified c-di-GMP binding sequence (113) (Fig. 2). Thus, I sites not only prevent overproduction of c-di-GMP by DGCs, but they allow proteins that no longer possess the DGC activity to function as c-di-GMP receptors. One of the first examples of such a catalytically “retired” GGDEF domain protein which functions as a c-di-GMP receptor was the response regulator PopA (CC_1842), which promotes cell cycle progression in C. crescentus (162). Another such receptor is the hybrid histidine kinase SgmT (MXAN_4640) of Myxococcus xanthus (163). c-di-GMP binding to SgmT mediates spatial localization of this cytoplasmic histidine kinase, without any obvious change in functionality. Yet another example involves the c-di-GMP receptor CdgA (Bd3125) required for rapid entry of the bacterial predator B. bacteriovorus into prey cells (153). In some instances, the GGDEF domain sequences containing I sites have diverged so much that they are barely recognizable, which makes their identification nontrivial. One such example is P. aeruginosa PelD (PA3061), the protein that posttranslationally controls Pel polysaccharide synthesis in a c-di-GMP-dependent manner (164, 165).

The same scenario proved true for EAL domains that lost PDE activity but retained the ability to bind c-di-GMP. An interesting example of such a hybrid protein is P. aeruginosa FimX, involved in type IV pilus-based motility. The degenerate and enzymatically inactive C-terminal EAL domain of FimX serves as a high-affinity c-di-GMP receptor (85, 101, 133). Another example involves the GGDEF-EAL c-di-GMP receptor LapD from Pseudomonas fluorescens (166, 167). While neither the GGDEF nor EAL domain of this receptor is enzymatically active, c-di-GMP binds to the degenerate EAL domain of LapD with a high affinity (68, 166). The Bacillus subtilis EAL-Ykul_C protein Ykul (BSU14090) is another candidate receptor belonging to this class. Ykul has been shown to bind to but not hydrolyze c-di-GMP (64). Catalytically incapable HD-GYP domain proteins that function as c-di-GMP receptors have not yet been described. However, it is probably just a matter of time before such receptors are uncovered. Mother Nature rarely misses apparent biological solutions.

Cyclic di-GMP receptors not predicted by bioinformatics. In the last few years, a plethora of new c-di-GMP-binding proteins belonging to diverse types have been discovered. None of these could have been predicted readily from sequence analysis. This exciting development helps to resolve the long-standing puzzle that bacteria seemed to have many more enzymes involved in c-di-GMP synthesis and breakdown than proteins responding to the actions of these enzymes, a situation akin to a dysfunctional army that has many more officers giving orders than soldiers executing these orders. In retrospect, the existence of diverse c-di-GMP receptor types could have been expected. In this regard, it is worth recalling that there are numerous ways through which proteins bind cAMP. Why would there not be as many or even more ways to bind c-di-GMP, a second messenger that is more widespread than cAMP?

The first protein that did not fall into the predictable c-di-GMP receptor categories was the enhancer-binding protein FleQ from P. aeruginosa (168) (Fig. 2). FleQ has an N-terminal receiver domain, an AAA+/ATPase σ44-interaction domain, and a C-terminal DNA binding domain and belongs to the NtrC/DctD family of transcriptional regulators. At present, it is not yet known how FleQ binds to and responds to c-di-GMP, but its N-terminal domain is not involved in binding. FleQ is not the sole member of the NtrC family that binds c-di-GMP. Recently, VpsR of V. cholerae was also identified as a c-di-GMP receptor (169). Subsequently to FleQ, several different transcription factors were discovered that also bind c-di-GMP. Among these are the c-di-GMP-binding CRP/FNR-type transcriptional activators from Xanthomonas and Burkholderia (170–173) and the CsgD/LuxR-type transcription factors from the Vibrio species (84, 174, 175), described in detail later in this review (Fig. 2). In addition, the ability to bind c-di-GMP, albeit with low affinity, has been reported for the E. coli protein BdcA (Yigl), a member of the short-chain oxidoreductase family (176).

New and exciting high-throughput methods of identification of c-di-GMP receptors were recently described. One of these relies on capturing c-di-GMP-binding proteins from cell extracts by using a c-di-GMP-affinity resin (177) or a pulldown procedure (178). Another is based on identification of c-di-GMP-binding proteins by using an E. coli overexpression system without the need for protein purification (179). If these methods live up to expectations, we should see a burst in newly discovered c-di-GMP receptors that may balance the soldier/officer ratios in the c-di-GMP armies of bacteria.

Cyclic di-GMP-specific riboswitches. While the discovery of every new type of c-di-GMP protein receptor has been exciting, the discovery of a c-di-GMP-specific riboswitch (59) was an unanticipated bonus. Riboswitches are RNA aptamers, noncoding segments of mRNA, that adopt specific secondary structures and bind small molecular ligands. Upon ligand binding, the mRNA secondary structures change, which results in changes in transcription, mRNA stability, or translation of the downstream genes (180). Breaker and colleagues (59) found that c-di-GMP binds specifically to a particular class of riboswitches, GEMM, that had been identified earlier but lacked known ligands. A second type of c-di-GMP-binding riboswitch was also identified by the Breaker group, and some representatives of this class are involved in c-di-GMP-induced RNA splicing (66). It is amazing that riboswitches bind c-di-GMP in vitro with extremely high affinities, i.e., with Kᵡ values in the nanomolar range (Fig. 5E and F). Since intracellular c-di-GMP concentrations are usually higher than this, it is not yet clear what these high affinities mean in vivo. The abundance of c-di-GMP-specific riboswitches upstream of a large number of diverse genes (59) suggests that c-di-GMP controls many as yet unappreciated functions in a variety of bacteria (see “Cyclic di-GMP and RNA”).

Cyclic di-GMP in Genomic Context

Cyclic di-GMP Signaling Enzymes in Microbial Genomes

Following early genomic analyses predicting that GGDEF, EAL, and HD-GYP domains are involved in c-di-GMP metabolism in a
variety of bacteria (27, 34, 107), experimental evidence for c-di-GMP signaling pathways was obtained for the major phylogenetic branches, including the Proteobacteria, Spirochetes, Cyanobacteria, Deinococcus-Thermus, Thermotogae, Actinobacteria, and Firmicutes (59, 181, 182). In the past several years, genome sequencing has revealed domains associated with c-di-GMP signaling even in the phyla previously thought to be devoid of c-di-GMP. For example, the first ~20 genomes of the Mollicutes, sequenced between 1995 and 2007, did not encode GGDEF, EAL, or HD-GYP domains. However, the slightly larger genome of Acholeplasma laidlawii, sequenced at the end of 2007, was found to encode as many as 15 GGDEF/EAL proteins. The recently completed genome of Simkania negevensis is the first genome of a Chlamydia organism to encode a GGDEF domain, albeit a degenerate one that is likely devoid of DGC activity. With that exception, GGDEF, EAL, or HD-GYP domain-containing proteins have been found in representatives of all major bacterial phyla that have at least one completely sequenced genome. It is curious that the number of bacterial species containing GGDEF domains is far greater than the number of species containing the structurally similar adenylate and guanylate cyclase catalytic domain (PF00211 in the Pfam database [116]) (110, 111) and exceeds the number of bacterial species carrying any type of adenylate cyclase (141). Therefore, c-di-GMP appears to be a much more common second messenger in bacteria than its once more famous cousin, cAMP.

The distribution of c-di-GMP signaling among members of each particular phylum is usually skewed in such a way that free-living bacteria with complex environmental lifestyles carry far more c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes than obligate parasites do (141). The reductive evolution of c-di-GMP signaling pathways was recently nicely demonstrated by Bobrov et al. (183), who showed degeneration of the genes involved in c-di-GMP synthesis and hydrolysis in the plague-causing obligate pathogen Y. pestis but preservation of these genes in its close relative, Yersinia pseudotuberculosis, which can live not only in its hosts but also in the environment.

For reasons that we still do not completely understand, c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes do not seem to be encoded by archaea. The only GGDEF (and HD-GYP)-containing protein in archaea is encoded in the genome of the uncultured methanogenic archaeon Methanocella arvoryzae MRE50 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Complete_Genomes/c-di-GMP.html), so there is a possibility of bacterial contamination. It may well be that archaea using c-di-GMP signaling pathways have unusual niches and have yet to be discovered.

The distribution of c-di-GMP among eukaryotes is more complex. The current databases list dozens of GGDEF and EAL domain proteins encoded in plants (poplar and castor bean) and lower eukaryotes, such as hydra, sea anemone, Dictyostelium, and Trichoplax. One such protein, a PleD-like DGC from the social amoeba Dictyostelium discoideum, has been recently characterized, providing the first evidence for the role of c-di-GMP as a developmental regulator in lower eukaryotes (183a). Dictyostelium uses c-di-GMP as an extracellular signal to regulate the development of the stalk subsequently progressing into a multicellular spore-forming fruiting body. Surprisingly, while four different d Dictyostelium fasciculatum, has 13 paralogous DGC genes; a c-di-GMP-specific PDE has not yet been identified. The functions of plant GGDEF and EAL domain proteins, if any, remain unknown; at least some of them appear to represent bacterial contamination. In any case, no GGDEF/EAL/HD-GYP domain-encoding genes seem to be present in mammals. Moreover, mammalian cells appear to monitor cytoplasmic c-di-GMP, perceived as a sign of bacterial infection, and to launch an innate immune response to counter the infection (see Practical Aspects of c-di-GMP).

The presence of c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes in numerous representatives of such early-diverging branches of bacteria as the Thermotogae, Deinococcus-Thermus, Cyanobacteria, Aquificae, and Chloroflexi (Table 1) strongly suggests that c-di-GMP was adapted as a secondary messenger at the early stages of bacterial evolution (42). Cyclic di-GMP signaling has been nearly lost in some lineages, often as a result of genome compaction during adaptation to obligate parasitism (e.g., in Chlamydia and Mycoplasma), but has been preserved in other lineages (e.g., Firmicutes and Chlorobi) and dramatically expanded in such phyla as the Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, and Spirochetes. The emergence of two distinct classes of c-di-GMP hydrolases, based on EAL and HD-GYP domains (prevalent in the Proteobacteria and Thermotogae, respectively) (Table 1), may have contributed to diversification of the c-di-GMP networks.

Regulation by Sensory Domains

As noted in Historical Perspective, the first indications that c-di-GMP was part of the cellular signal transduction machinery came from the association of the GGDEF and EAL domains with the oxygen-sensing PAS domain in DGCs and PDE of G. xilus (25, 35) and with the receiver (REC) domain of two-component signal transduction systems in PleD and CelR2 (24, 28, 33). It turns out that cytoplasmic proteins combining GGDEF, EAL, and HD-GYP domains with REC, PAS, and/or GAF domains show by far the most common domain architectures of c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes (Table 3). In terms of signal transduction, this association implies modulation of the enzymatic activity of the GGDEF, EAL, and HD-GYP domains by the upstream components of two-component signal transduction systems in PleD and CelR2 (24, 28, 33). It turns out that cytoplasmic proteins combining GGDEF, EAL, and HD-GYP domains with REC, PAS, and/or GAF domains show by far the most common domain architectures of c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes (Table 3). In terms of signal transduction, this association implies modulation of the enzymatic activity of the GGDEF, EAL, and HD-GYP domains by the upstream components. For PAS- and GAF-linked domains, such activity modulation would occur because of the bound ligands, e.g., heme, flavin mononucleotide, flavin adenine dinucleotide, and various chromophores. These domains enable proteins to sense O2, NO, CO, the redox state (130), and light (54, 63, 186, 187) and with the receiver (REC) domain of two-component signal transduction systems in the electron transport chain components, light quorum-sensing molecules, and a variety of other signals (106, 184, 184a). In addition to PAS and GAF domains, other, more signal-specific sensory protein domains, e.g., globin domains involved in O2 sensing, are often bound to GGDEF and EAL domains (Table 3). Proteins containing such sensory domains monitor cytoplasmic levels of their respective ligands and respond by altering the synthesis or hydrolysis of c-di-GMP. Several DGCs and PDEs that sense O2 (35, 89, 91), NO (185), the redox state (130), and light (54, 63, 186, 187) have been characterized (Table 3). Note that stand-alone sensors, often encoded by neighboring genes, may also interact with DGCs and PDEs (188, 189).

The signaling proteins combining GGDEF and/or EAL or HD-GYP domains with the REC domain are response regulators of two-component signal transduction systems, modulating c-di-GMP levels in response to extracellular or intracellular signals received by their cognate sensor His kinases. According to the Response Regulator Census (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Complete_Genomes/RRcensus.html), proteins with an REC-GGDEF or REC-REC-GGDEF domain architecture (assigned to the WspR and PleD families, respectively) account for ~2.3% of all response
regulators encoded in bacterial genomes (104). Response regulators of the PvrR family (REC-EAL domain architecture) comprise 0.4% of all response regulators; proteins containing both GGDEF and EAL output domains (FimX family) add another 1.1%, whereas proteins with the REC–HD-GYP domain architecture (RpfG family) comprise \( \frac{1.6}{100} \) of all response regulators. Thus, c-di-GMP-metabolizing domains are found in at least 5.4% of all bacterial response regulators, making them a major constituent of the two-component signal transduction machinery.

Another important group of signaling proteins consists of membrane-bound sensors that combine cytoplasmic GGDEF, EAL, or HD-GYP domains with periplasmic (or extracellular) sensory domains, connecting them via one or more membrane-spanning fragments. In most cases, the ligand specificity of the periplasmic domains remains unknown, and they are recognized as sensors based on their presence in other signaling proteins, such as histidine kinases, adenylate cyclases, or MCP proteins (141, 190, 191).

In some cases, c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes are regulated by dynamic protein-protein interactions with other c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes or sensory domains. For example, in X. campestris and Xanthomonas axonopodis, not only do some GGDEF domain DGCs specifically interact with the HD-GYP domain PDE, RpfG, but these interactions proved important for regulating a subset of downstream processes (98, 192). The periplasmic protein YfR (PA1121) from P. aeruginosa inhibits the activity of the DGC TpbP (YfN; PA1120), which prevents the formation of small-colony variants of this species (193). The H-NOX domain, a selective NO sensor, interacts as a free-standing protein with DGCs to affect c-di-GMP metabolism (188, 189). The occurrence of H-NOX domains adjacent to c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins in other bacteria suggests that modulation of biofilm formation by NO through c-di-GMP signaling is common.

**PHYSIOLOGY AND MECHANISMS OF CYCLIC di-GMP SIGNALING**

**Scope of c-di-GMP Signaling**

When c-di-GMP appeared above the horizon as a potential novel second messenger, the first phenotypes associated with c-di-GMP signaling were cell differentiation in C. crescentus and biofilm formation in G. xylinus, Salmonella enterica, V. cholerae, and P. aeruginosa (2, 3, 5, 37, 39, 41). A role for c-di-GMP in V. cholerae virulence was identified soon thereafter (40). Since then, not only has the list of bacteria relying on c-di-GMP signaling grown immensely, but so has the range of phenotypes affected by c-di-GMP. This range now includes such diverse phenomena as survival and transmission of obligate intracellular pathogens from the Proteobacteria and Spirochetes in insect and mammalian hosts (183, 194), predatory behavior of a bacterial killer (153), heterocyst formation in cyanobacteria (195), multicellular development and antibiotic production in streptomycetes (196), and long-term nutritional stress survival and lipid metabolism and transport in mycobacteria (137, 196a) (Fig. 6).

A key role of c-di-GMP in the transition between motile and sessile lifestyles of the Gram-negative bacteria G. xylinus, S. enterica, E. coli, and P. aeruginosa was appreciated early on (41), and the molecular mechanisms of this regulation are beginning to emerge (9, 155, 156, 197, 198). Importantly, the c-di-GMP-dependent motility-to-sessility transition occurs not only when a swimming cell approaches a surface for settling down but also upon building of three-dimensional (3D) biofilms and during biofilm dispersal. In addition to swimming, c-di-GMP regulates swarming, twitching, and gliding motility on colonized surfaces (174, 199–201), not only in diverse Proteobacteria but also in the Spirochetes, Firmicutes, and Cyanobacteria (128, 187, 202). There-
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**FIG 6** Phenotypes that are regulated by cyclic di-GMP signaling. On the left are target outputs activated by low c-di-GMP or repressed by high c-di-GMP (require the absence of c-di-GMP binding to the cognate receptor for expression), and on the right are target outputs activated by high c-di-GMP (require c-di-GMP binding to the cognate receptor for expression). Some processes can be repressed and activated by c-di-GMP, depending on the bacterial species and conditions. (Adapted from reference 12 with permission of the publisher. Copyright © 2009 Karger Publishers, Basel, Switzerland.)
fore, the motility-to-sessility transition is a very common, possibly universal phenomenon controlled by c-di-GMP.

Some pathogens do not seem to depend on c-di-GMP signaling pathways for acute infections (7, 183, 203), whereas other human, animal, and plant pathogens do (204, 205). It is becoming apparent that virulence may be both promoted and inhibited by c-di-GMP, depending on the pathogen, stage of infection, infection route, and other factors. How and when c-di-GMP signaling pathways affect acute infections is still poorly understood, in part because we do not have the means to observe or modulate bacteria inside their hosts during infection with sufficient spatiotemporal resolution. In contrast to acute infections, the vast majority of chronic infections involve biofilms, which are often associated with elevated c-di-GMP levels in bacterial pathogens (97, 206).

It has been noticed previously (3) that the number of phenotypes regulated by c-di-GMP appears low compared to the multitude of enzymes involved in c-di-GMP synthesis and breakdown. This apparent paradox has been partially demystified by the realization that individual biofilm components often involve specific, not necessarily overlapping, c-di-GMP signaling circuits. Furthermore, studies of c-di-GMP receptors that act as global transcriptional regulators (171, 207) helped to uncover a plethora of new perspectives.

GMP-dependent circuits, or even targets of c-di-GMP action are limited to biosynthesis or other biological functions. In contrast, the c-di-GMP-dependent riboswitches present in various bacteria may regulate the expression of many unexpected target genes (59, 66) (Fig. 6).

In spite of the recent burst in information, our knowledge about c-di-GMP signaling pathways remains fragmentary. Only a few complete c-di-GMP signaling circuits have been described. More often than not, we know only of isolated components of c-di-GMP signaling networks, such as enzymes or receptors, but molecular mechanisms of regulation, signals affecting specific c-di-GMP-dependent circuits, or even targets of c-di-GMP action are often missing. Consequently, there is still a lot to discover in the field of c-di-GMP signaling (see Concluding Remarks and Perspectives).

Motility-to-Sessility Transition

The vast majority of bacteria spend a significant amount of time growing attached to surfaces of abiotic or biotic origin. The transition of single motile bacterial cells to a surface-attached, sessile state represents a drastic lifestyle change, which is commonly associated with subsequent multicellular growth as a colony and/or biofilm. The motility-to-sessility transition involves several stages. First, a cell needs to reach the surface to make a temporary contact and then permanently attach using its surface-adhesive components. Ultimately, if it is motile, the cell needs to inhibit the motility that helped it to reach the surface (208). Several mechanisms are involved in accomplishing this transition, some of which involve c-di-GMP.

We first consider how flagellated bacteria undergo the motility-to-sessility transition. One of the challenges of a motility-to-sessility transition for bacteria that swim using flagella is that they need rotating flagella not only to come into proximity with the surface but also to overcome surface repulsion at the liquid-surface interface. Therefore, flagella are expected to keep rotating until the surface contact is made. Furthermore, flagella themselves often serve as organelles involved in initial, temporary attachment (209, 210). However, flagella are responsive to chemotactic signals. For a cell committed to surface attachment, this may be problematic because it increases the chances of the cell swimming away from the surface following the initial contact. Therefore, bacteria might benefit from a mechanism that temporarily disengages flagella from chemotactic inputs during surface attachment. Such a mechanism would be expected to regulate flagella quickly, on the scale of seconds; thus, it should operate at the posttranslational level. One such mechanism that operates in enteric bacteria has been described at the mechanistic level. The molecular underpinnings in other bacteria are only beginning to emerge.

YcgR, the c-di-GMP receptor of enteric bacteria. E. coli and S. enterica have perirhinchous flagella that rotate in either a counterclockwise (CCW) or clockwise (CW) direction. The CCW-rotating flagella form a bundle that propels the cell forward. Chemotactic signals result in changes in rotation direction of one or several flagella, from the default CCW to the CW direction, which results in flagellar bundle disassembly and cell tumbling. Ultimately, the CCW rotation resumes and propels the cell in a new, random direction. The protein delivering chemotaxis signals to the flagellum is the phosphorylated response regulator CheY (CheY-P), which binds to the flagellum rotor subunit FlIM to induce CW rotation (reviewed in references 211 and 212). The flagellar rotor, also known as a switch complex, is an approximately 4-MDa complex located at the cytoplasmic side of the flagellum. It is made of three subunits, FlIG, FlIM, and FlIN, with the FlIG subunit being critical for converting the transmembrane proton flow through MotBA to the torque that drives rotation of the flagellum body. FlIG is also critical for setting the rotation direction, which is defined by its interactions with the FlIM subunits.

Girgis et al. first noticed that elevated levels of intracellular c-di-GMP, brought about by deletion of the major c-di-GMP PDE of E. coli, YhjH, induced a strong CCW bias in flagellar rotation (213). The CCW bias promotes smooth swimming and inhibited bacterial spreading in semisolid agar, apparently because cells unable to switch swimming direction became trapped in the blind alleys of the semisolid medium (214). The c-di-GMP receptor relaying intracellular c-di-GMP levels to flagella was identified earlier as YcgR. The inactivation of ycgR in the yjhH mutant largely restored motility in semisolid agar (52, 213, 215). Römling and Amikam (6) and Wolfe and Visick (16) proposed that YcgR may deliver the c-di-GMP signal by direct interactions with the flagellar motor.

Two models have subsequently emerged to explain the YcgR–c-di-GMP-mediated effect. According to one model derived from studies of S. enterica and E. coli (155, 156), YcgR controls the direction of flagellar rotation by binding to the FlIG and FlIM subunits of the flagellum switch complex FlIGMN. This primary binding is relatively weak and does not require c-di-GMP, but it ensures concentration of YcgR at the flagellum sites, which may facilitate a fast response to increased c-di-GMP levels. Upon such an increase, the interaction between YcgR–c-di-GMP and the flagellar switch complex is strengthened, which stabilizes the CW conformation of the complex (155, 156). Cyclic di-GMP binding is known to result in drastic conformational changes in YcgR, i.e., the N-terminal domain is brought in close proximity to the C-terminal PilZ domain (32). In addition to the CCW bias, an ~30%
slowdown in flagellar rotation at high c-di-GMP levels was observed in *S. enterica* when YcgR was overexpressed (156). The block imposed by YcgR–c-di-GMP on the reversal of flagellar rotation to the CW direction has been named a “backstop brake” (156).

The second model (154) is focused on the c-di-GMP-induced deceleration of flagellar rotation and does not involve the CCW rotation bias. According to this model, instead of interacting with the rotor, YcgR–c-di-GMP interacts with the MotA subunit of the stator. By interfering with the stator-rotor energy transfer, YcgR–c-di-GMP slows down the rotating flagellum, i.e., it acts as a brake. A correlation between flagellum rotation velocity and intracellular c-di-GMP levels was recorded. This model is supported by genetic and fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) data, whereas the former model (155, 156) also includes protein-protein interaction analyses. Additional experimentation to probe for the MotA-YcgR interaction directly would be helpful to verify YcgR interactions with the stator. However, the relatively modest reduction in rotation velocity (~40% decrease) (154) in itself may not be sufficient to account for the drastic inhibition of swimming in semisolid agar of the Δ*yhjH* strains. Therefore, the c-di-GMP-induced CCW bias that can be set only by YcgR interactions with the rotor likely plays an essential role.

It has been proposed (155) that smooth swimming may decrease the distraction of chemotactic signals and set bacteria on a “crash course” with a surface, which promotes the transition to the sessile lifestyle. In semisolid media, which are typical for enteric bacteria (e.g., animal digestive tracts and fecal material), this may be a particularly effective strategy for settling down (214). Some deceleration in swimming velocity could be meaningful for surface attachment, although a drastic slowdown may impair the cell’s ability to reach the surface, overcome surface tension, and initiate temporary attachment. Cells containing nonrotating flagella poorly colonize abiotic surfaces (216).

YcgR is a motility-specific c-di-GMP receptor. Expression of the *ycgR* gene, as well as the gene encoding the c-di-GMP-specific PDE, *YhiH*, is under the control of the FlhD4C2 flagellum master regulator (215). Several DGCs have been shown to contribute c-di-GMP to YcgR, suggesting that a variety of signals affect the motility-to-sessility transition (154, 197). Furthermore, YcgR–c-di-GMP has been proposed to function outside the motility-to-sessility transition, e.g., in adjusting swimming velocity to the energy status of liquid-grown cells during the exponential-to-stationary-phase transition (154). It has been proposed (155) that smooth swimming may decrease the distraction of chemotactic signals and set bacteria on a “crash course” with a surface, which promotes the transition to the sessile lifestyle. In semisolid media, which are typical for enteric bacteria (e.g., animal digestive tracts and fecal material), this may be a particularly effective strategy for settling down (214). Some deceleration in swimming velocity could be meaningful for surface attachment, although a drastic slowdown may impair the cell’s ability to reach the surface, overcome surface tension, and initiate temporary attachment. Cells containing nonrotating flagella poorly colonize abiotic surfaces (216).

YcgR is a motility-specific c-di-GMP receptor. Expression of the *ycgR* gene, as well as the gene encoding the c-di-GMP-specific PDE, *YhiH*, is under the control of the FlhD4C2 flagellum master regulator (215). Several DGCs have been shown to contribute c-di-GMP to YcgR, suggesting that a variety of signals affect the motility-to-sessility transition (154, 197). Furthermore, YcgR–c-di-GMP has been proposed to function outside the motility-to-sessility transition, e.g., in adjusting swimming velocity to the energy status of liquid-grown cells during the exponential-to-stationary-phase transition (154).

Despite its key role in c-di-GMP-dependent motility regulation in enteric bacteria, YcgR is only part of the c-di-GMP-mediated regulation of motility, as judged by the fact that the *ycgR* deletion in *yfjH* mutants does not fully restore the size of the swim zone to wild-type levels (155). Motility, however, is fully restored by deletion of *ycgR* and the cellulose synthase gene *bcsA* (216a) whose product binds c-di-GMP via the C-terminal PilZ domain (52). Thereby, extracellular cellulose directly inhibits flagellar rotation. These findings provide clues as to how flagella of enteric bacteria stop rotating following cell attachment. Interestingly, a glycosyltransferase that inhibits motility and promotes exopolysaccharide biosynthesis in a c-di-GMP-independent way was recently discovered in *B. subtilis* (217). In this case, however, exopolysaccharide biosynthesis and motility inhibition could be genetically separated.

The YcgR–c-di-GMP-dependent regulation of flagellar function is not limited to *E. coli* and *S. enterica* but apparently is characteristic of other members of the Gamma- and Betaproteobacteria that express YcgR homologs (155). A much more distant homolog of YcgR from *B. subtilis*, YpfA, was also found to inhibit motility through its interaction with the flagellar motor protein MotA that interferes with flagellar rotation (217a). Further, PilZ domain c-di-GMP receptors unrelated to YcgR are also involved in regulating flagellar motility, albeit via different mechanisms. For example, *C. crescentus* DgrA and, to a lesser extent, DgrB have been shown to inhibit flagellar function (51). *dgrA* overexpression was reported to decrease the level of FilL, a protein required for flagellar rotation in *C. crescentus*. The mechanistic details of the DgrA-FilL connection have not yet been uncovered.

**Cyclic di-GMP regulation of chemotaxis: an emerging theme.**

One can envision that variations of the mechanism involving c-di-GMP-dependent flagellar rotation regulation may exist. For example, induction of the CCW bias can be achieved by modulating the chemotaxis machinery itself, e.g., by depleting the pool of CheY–P by either decreasing CheY phosphorylation or increasing CheY–P dephosphorylation. Both types of c-di-GMP-dependent motility regulation have now been documented.

The PilZ domain c-di-GMP receptor PlzA from the spirochete *B. burgdorferi*, which is propelled by a single periplasmic flagellum, has been proposed to bind to the CheY–P phosphatase, CheX (128). Activation of CheX by PlzA–c-di-GMP would be expected to lower CheY–P levels and promote smooth swimming. The details of this mechanism, which is thus far supported only by genetic data, have yet to emerge.

In the alphaproteobacterium *Azospirillum brasilense*, the MCP Tlp1 plays a key role in energy taxis and colonization of plant roots (218). Tlp1 contains a C-terminal PilZ domain (48) that binds c-di-GMP with low-micromolar affinity (218a). c-di-GMP binding to Tlp1 promotes smooth swimming as well as swimming velocity. Changing c-di-GMP levels serve as an intracellular cue to allow *A. brasilense* cells to optimize their search for microaerobic environmental niches optimal for energy generation. According to bioinformatic analysis, a number of proteobacteria contain MCP-PilZ fusions, and therefore c-di-GMP-dependent control of chemotaxis may not be limited to *A. brasilense* (218a).

Given that c-di-GMP receptors may affect the chemotaxis machinery without being fused to chemotaxis proteins (128), the c-di-GMP–chemotaxis theme is likely poised for expansion.

It is noteworthy that in the cases described above, increased c-di-GMP levels promote (smooth) swimming instead of inhibiting swimming, which is expected from the current paradigm that associates high c-di-GMP levels with sessility. Two considerations may help in resolving this apparent contradiction. One is that c-di-GMP-dependent motility regulation does not always involve the motility-to-sessility transition (154, 18a). Second, a distinction needs to be made between transient increases and decreases in c-di-GMP levels and more permanent changes in intracellular c-di-GMP concentrations. While the example of *A. brasilense* nicely demonstrates that transient c-di-GMP changes do not promote immediate sessility, more permanently elevated c-di-GMP levels (e.g., as observed in PDE mutants) do promote sessile lifestyles, both in *A. brasilense* that forms cell aggregates (218a) and in enteric bacteria (52, 155, 213). There is a need to go beyond the static view of intracellular c-di-GMP levels as the sole deterministic factor and to consider the highly dynamic nature of c-di-GMP signaling, which we are just beginning to appreciate (219).
Cyclic di-GMP-dependent transcriptional regulation of flagellar genes. In addition to the fast regulation of flagellar behavior by c-di-GMP, the cells already attached to a surface need to inhibit flagellar motility and/or block synthesis of new flagella, and these processes depend on c-di-GMP, at least in some bacteria. Several different c-di-GMP-dependent transcriptional regulators of motility genes have evolved. Most of these appear to be engaged in inverse regulation of flagellar genes and genes involved in biosynthesis of polysaccharides, adhesive pili, and/or protein adhesins, i.e., genes characteristic of the surface-attached biofilm lifestyle.

The first factor regulating flagellar gene expression in a c-di-GMP-dependent manner, FleQ, was identified in P. aeruginosa by Hickman and Harwood (168). FleQ controls expression of the polysaccharide pel biosynthesis genes involved in biofilm formation, as well as the flagellar regulon (220). The molecular understanding of FleQ’s action is beginning to emerge. The c-di-GMP-dependent binding of FleQ to the pel operon promoter has been demonstrated; however, regulation of the flagellar genes by c-di-GMP does not seem to be mediated by FleQ, the master regulator of flagellar gene expression (221).

In addition to P. aeruginosa FleQ, two unrelated transcription factors from proteobacteria were recently shown to inhibit flagellar gene expression in a c-di-GMP-dependent manner. In Xanthomonas, the CRP-type transcriptional regulators (CIP proteins) have evolved to bind c-di-GMP. DNA binding by CIP is abolished in the presence of c-di-GMP (170, 173, 222). A cIP mutation has been reported to result in lower expression of the flagellin fliC gene, likely via an indirect mechanism (223). Consistent with the inverse regulation of biofilm and motility genes, V. cholerae VspT, yet another c-di-GMP-dependent transcription factor, has been shown to repress flagellar genes. A genomewide transcriptional analysis revealed that a number of flagellar genes are upregulated in a vspT mutant and that the mutant migrates better than the wild type in semisolid agar (84).

Interestingly, the above-described control via various c-di-GMP-dependent transcription factors is modest, i.e., only 1.5- to 3-fold downregulation of flagellar gene expression (84, 220, 223). Such modest downregulation may not be sufficient to account for the nonrotating or absent flagella in biofilm-grown cells, for which experimental evidence is plentiful (16, 60, 224, 225). Therefore, additional molecular mechanisms of motility control involved in the motility-to-sessility transition remain to be uncovered.

Thus far, no c-di-GMP-responsive transcription factor has been found in enteric bacteria. However, the “reverted,” enzymatically inactive, and non-c-di-GMP-binding EAL domain protein YdiV has been shown to act as an anti-transcriptional activator of the master flagellar regulator FlhD4C2 (226, 227).

Cyclic di-GMP-dependent control of motility-to-sessility transition on surfaces. Some bacteria utilize the same flagellar apparatuses for swimming in liquid or semisolid media and swimming on wet surfaces, while others use specialized flagella for swimming or engage flagellum-independent motility modes. For those bugs that use the same flagella for both swimming and swimming, the association of high c-di-GMP levels with sessility and low c-di-GMP levels with surface swimming appears to hold (52).

The O’Toule group identified several critical pieces of the c-di-GMP signaling module involved in swarming motility regulation in P. aeruginosa PA14. The DGC SadC (PA4332), the PDE BifA (PA4367), and the protein of unknown function SadB (PA5346) act upstream of the chemotaxis-like system that affects the frequency of directional reversals of swimming cells (201, 228–230). sadC and sadB mutations promote surface swimming by increasing the frequency with which cells change their swimming direction, whereas a bifA mutation apparently results in decreased directional reversal. Note that while the reversal frequency is changed, no change in swimming speed has been detected. In P. aeruginosa PA14, a chemotaxis-like pathway has been identified as a mediator of swimming behavior. This situation is reminiscent of the regulation of directional reversals in the enteric bacteria and A. brasilense. It is tempting to speculate that a component of this chemotaxis pathway is regulated by c-di-GMP synthesized by SadC and degraded by BifA.

McCarter and colleagues have begun to unravel molecular details of the c-di-GMP-based control of surface swimming in Vibrio parahaemolyticus (174). This gammaproteobacterium lives in the sea and occasionally acts as an opportunistic seafood-borne human pathogen (231). A decrease in c-di-GMP levels activates and an increase in c-di-GMP levels lowers expression of laf genes that encode lateral flagella involved in swimming. The transcription factor controlling laf gene expression has not yet been identified. However, it is known that at the top of the regulatory hierarchy stands the ScrABC quorum sensing system. ScrA, a predicted pyridoxal-dependent aminotransferase, is involved in synthesis of a novel autoinducer. ScrB, a predicted periplasmic protein, is believed to bind the autoinducer. ScrC is a bifunctional enzyme possessing both DGC and PDE activities. The ScrB-autoinducer complex, expected to be present at high cell densities, interacts with the large periplasmic domain of ScrC and switches ScrC from the DGC mode to the PDE mode (136). The ScrC-mediated decrease in c-di-GMP levels is likely sensed by one or more of the V. parahaemolyticus transcription factors, i.e., CpsC, CpsR, or VP2710, predicted to bind c-di-GMP and involved in laf expression regulation and swimming (232). One can envision that on the surface of a shellfish densely populated by V. parahaemolyticus, bacteria would sense overcrowding via high autoinducer levels, which would decrease intracellular c-di-GMP and promote lateral flagellation, thus allowing bacteria to swarm away from the colony and expand the colonized surface. Spreading over the surface may be a more sensible strategy than swimming away into the dangerous surroundings of an open sea.

Cyclic di-GMP-dependent control of nonflagellar motility. Beyond flagellum-mediated swimming, type IV pilus-mediated twitching motility is also regulated by c-di-GMP. This mechanism is discussed later in this review. Here, we briefly mention the recently discovered regulation of these two surface-stimulated motility modes by c-di-GMP in P. aeruginosa (232a). Three proteins involved in pilus biogenesis elevate the levels of c-di-GMP provided by the DGC SadC and degraded by the PDE BifA and thereby inhibit swimming motility. These findings are consistent with the notion that twitching motility requires c-di-GMP for pilus polymerization, while swimming motility is only inhibited by c-di-GMP.

Less is known about c-di-GMP-dependent regulation of gliding motility, but in those species that rely on gliding, this type of motility also appears to be controlled by c-di-GMP. In B. bacteriovorus, cell gliding is required for the escape of progeny of the bacterial predator from the exhausted prey and for preying on surfaces. Hobley et al. recently showed that a mutation in one of...
three active DGCs of _B. bacteriovorus_, DgcA (Bd0367), impairs gliding motility (153). In another social predator of bacteria, _Myxococcus xanthus_, increased c-di-GMP levels have been observed to inhibit surface gliding (Wall and Gomelsky, unpublished data). At present, mechanistic insights into the regulation of these processes is lacking.

**Regulation of Biofilms**

The bottom-line message of numerous studies on the role of c-di-GMP signaling pathways in biofilm formation is that c-di-GMP promotes biofilms (38, 41, 49, 201, 204, 234–239). This view holds true for various biofilm models, e.g., pellicles at the air-surface interface; rugose, wrinkled, or rdar (red, dry, and rough) colony morphotypes on agar plates (240–242); and bacterial adhesion to abiotic surfaces under steady-state or continuous-flow conditions. In _P. aeruginosa_, mucoid colonies and small-colony variants commonly isolated from the airways of cystic fibrosis patients are associated with elevated biofilm formation and c-di-GMP levels (97, 206, 243–245).

All extracellular matrix components known to contribute to biofilm formation, including diverse exopolysaccharides, adhesive pili, and nonfimbrial adhesins, as well as extracellular DNA, can be regulated by c-di-GMP (13). Biofilm-related targets can be controlled by c-di-GMP on the transcriptional, posttranscriptional, and posttranslational levels. Below, we describe the current status of knowledge of c-di-GMP’s involvement in biofilm formation.

**Cellulose biosynthesis as a c-di-GMP target.** The capacity for cellulose biosynthesis is present in many bacteria from diverse branches of the phylogenetic tree, such as _Thermotoga_, _Proteobacteria_, and _Cyanobacteria_ (246). Cellulose is a common component of environmental bacterial biofilms (247–250) and a component of interkingdom biofilms, i.e., bacteria attached to plants, fungi, and human intestinal cells (251–254). One may recall that cellulose biosynthesis in the fruit-rotting bacterium _G. xylinus_ was the first process shown to be regulated by c-di-GMP (1).

Bacterial cellulose synthases contain a c-di-GMP-binding PilZ domain at the C terminus, suggesting a common allosteric regulatory mechanism. Indeed, c-di-GMP binds to the PilZ domain of the _G. xylinus_ cellulose synthase (52), and _in vitro_ cellulose biosynthesis using membrane fractions of _G. xylinus_ and _E. coli_ require only the substrate, UDP-glucose, c-di-GMP, and no other cytoplasmic components (1, 253). Since the cellulose biosynthesis operon is expressed constitutively in _G. xylinus_, _E. coli_, and _S. enterica_ (250, 255, 256), this posttranslational regulation is possibly the major mechanism of cellulose biosynthesis activation in these organisms.

In _G. xylinus_, three highly similar, albeit nonidentical, DGCs and PDEs affect cellulose expression simultaneously (25). The DGCs monitor oxygen levels via the heme-containing PAS domains (35), while PDEs monitor the cellular redox state via the FAD-containing PAS domains (130). In _S. enterica_ and _E. coli_, a single DGC feeds in c-di-GMP for activation of cellulose biosynthesis under standard laboratory conditions (30, 257, 258) (Fig. 7). However, under different growth conditions or upon binding of an IgA antibody, which protects mice against _Salmonella_ infection, additional DGCs may contribute (30, 259, 259a, 461).

In species where cellulose biosynthesis is not expressed under laboratory conditions, transcription of the cellulose biosynthesis operon usually requires c-di-GMP. In the plant growth-promoting strain _P. fluorescens_ SBW25, the _wss_ operon, encoding the biosynthesis genes for acetyl-substituted cellulose (95), is expressed only on plant surfaces (260). However, constitutive activation of the DGC WspR can stimulate _wss_ operon transcription with subsequent cellulose production under laboratory conditions (261). Similarly, in _Rhizobium_ spp. and _K. pneumoniae_, where cellulose biosynthesis is silent under laboratory conditions, c-di-GMP-dependent up-mutations resulting in cellulose synthesis can be isolated (29, 262). Importantly, for most species, neither complete c-di-GMP circuits for regulation of cellulose biosynthesis have been identified nor signals activating DGCs and PDEs are known. Furthermore, we do not understand exactly what happens when c-di-GMP binds to the PilZ domain of the glycosyltransferase subunit of cellulose synthase. Does it affect the reaction rate or secretion of the nascent polysaccharide chain across the cytoplasmic membrane? The recently solved cellulose synthase structure (PDB 4HG6) suggests that c-di-GMP binding to the PilZ domain in the vicinity of the active site induces a conformational change which allows access by the substrate UDP-glucose (262a).

**PAG as a c-di-GMP target.** The poly-β-1,6-N-acetylgalactosamine (PAG; also called PNAG or polysaccharide intercellular adhesin [PIA]) is another exopolysaccharide produced by a wide variety of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria as a biofilm matrix component (263–265). In _Y. pestis_, _E. coli_, and _Pectinobacterium atrosepticum_, PAG biosynthesis is activated by c-di-GMP (38, 266, 267). In _Y. pestis_, the hmsHFRS locus encodes the structural proteins required for PAG biosynthesis. The membrane complex containing the putative glycosyltransferase HmsR interacts with the c-di-GMP PDE HmsP and the DGC HmsT (38, 268). Thus, c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins appear to colocalize with the target. Temperature-dependent proteolysis of the DGC HmsT and other Hms proteins is known to be responsible for PAG production at 26°C but not at 37°C (269).

In _E. coli_ K-12, deletion of _csrA_ highly upregulates PAG-dependent biofilm formation (270). Two DGCs, DosC (YddV) and YdeH, are required for PAG-dependent biofilm formation. While DosC affects transcription of the PAG biosynthesis operon, _pgaABC_ and _pgaD_, activity of YdeH stabilizes the PAG biosynthesis protein PgaD posttranslationally (266, 271). The molecular mechanism of PAG activation by c-di-GMP has recently been elucidated (271a). Cyclic di-GMP binding to the inner membrane components PgaC and PgaD promotes their interaction and stimulates glycosyltransferase activity. This mechanism is an intriguing example of c-di-GMP-promoted protein-protein interactions.

Interestingly, _Staphylococcus epidermidis_ and _Staphylococcus aureus_ also produce PAG (232), yet PAG biosynthesis in staphylococci is not regulated by c-di-GMP. Indeed, c-di-GMP signaling does not even exist in this genus, as the only potentially functional GGDEF domain protein, GdpS, was experimentally shown to be enzymatically inactive (272).

**Alginate, Pel, and Psl polysaccharides as c-di-GMP targets.** Although the genetic capacity to produce alginate and the Pel and Psl polysaccharides appears to be present in diverse bacterial species, activation of biosynthesis of these exopolysaccharides by c-di-GMP has been studied mostly in _P. aeruginosa_.

The Pel and Psl polysaccharides are major extracellular matrix components of nonmucoid _P. aeruginosa_ biofilms. While the genetic capacity to produce Pel polysaccharide seems to be ubiqui-
tous among *P. aeruginosa* strains, not all strains (including the well-characterized strain *P. aeruginosa* PA14) harbor the *psl* operon. Pel and Psl exopolysaccharides are positively regulated by c-di-GMP on the transcriptional level, which is readily observed upon constitutive activation of the REC-GGDEF response regulator-DGC WspR (93). Phosphorylation of WspR is carried out by the hybrid His kinase WspE, which receives a surface-derived signal from the membrane-bound MCP domain protein WspA (273).

The transcriptional regulator FleQ is a c-di-GMP receptor that, upon binding c-di-GMP, promotes *pel* and *psl* transcription (168). In the absence of c-di-GMP, FleQ forms a complex with the accessory ATP-binding FleN protein and binds to two sites upstream and downstream of the *pel* promoter (221). This complex bends the DNA and inhibits transcription. In the presence of c-di-GMP, bending is relieved, which activates *pel* transcription. It is intriguing to speculate that cytoplasmic WspR clusters may be formed in proximity to the c-di-GMP receptor FleQ for efficient and localized c-di-GMP signaling (Fig. 7).

Biosynthesis of the Pel exopolysaccharide is also regulated on the posttranslational level by c-di-GMP (Fig. 7). The *pel* operon encodes an I-site c-di-GMP receptor, PelD, which is part of a macromolecular biosynthetic complex (164). In strain PA14, the DGC RoeA, the PDE BifA, and partially the DGC SadC are involved in the posttranslational regulation of Pel synthesis (229, 230).

Besides being stimulated by c-di-GMP, Psl exopolysaccharide elevates c-di-GMP levels in a positive feedback loop through the DGCs SiaD and SadC (273a). This mechanism also promotes the expression of other biofilm components and stimulates biofilm formation in general.

Overexpression of the Pel and Psl polysaccharides is a characteristic of some rugose small-colony variants that arise upon prolonged persistence in the lungs of cystic fibrosis patients (206, 245). In some isolates, this phenotype depends on enhanced c-di-GMP output by the DGC TpbB (YfiN), which elevates transcription of the *pel* operon (97, 245, 274). The activity of TpbB is repressed posttranslationally by dephosphorylation through the tyrosine phosphatase TpbA (PA3885) (274, 275) and by the negative regulator YfiR, through an unknown mechanism (245). Because YfiR and TpbA are both located in the periplasm, there exists the possibility that YfiR is involved in the regulation of the phosphorylation status of TpbB. While phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of a tyrosine residue is a well-known mechanism of controlling activities of bacterial proteins, the functionality of such a mechanism in the periplasm remains controversial.
Alginate has evoked interest mainly as the exopolysaccharide overproduced by mucoid *P. aeruginosa* strains adapted to long-term colonization of the cystic fibrosis lung. Alg44 (PA3542) is a PilZ domain c-di-GMP receptor required for alginate polymerization or transport (48, 149) (Fig. 7). Alg44 is localized to the inner membrane, most likely as part of the large alginate synthase complex (149, 157). The N-terminal cytoplasmic PilZ domain of Alg44 is separated from the long periplasmic C terminus by a single transmembrane domain. The molecular mechanism of activation of alginate synthesis upon c-di-GMP binding to Alg44 has not been addressed. However, the membrane fusion protein domain present in the periplasmic fragment of Alg44 suggests that it is involved in protein-protein interactions within the alginate translocation complex. Deletion of a short C-terminal sequence abolished alginate polymerization (157), suggesting that c-di-GMP binding to the cytoplasmic PilZ domain of Alg44 can be transferred via an inside-out signaling mechanism (67, 68) to the periplasmic domain to activate alginate polymerization.

The source of the c-di-GMP required for alginate production remains poorly characterized. In the alginate-overproducing *P. aeruginosa* strain PDO300, the putative DGC MucR (PA1727) affects alginate biosynthesis (243). This activation, however, seems to be strain specific, as MucR overexpression in a different strain, PAO1, leads to wrinkled colonies indicative of Pel or Psl polysaccharide biosynthesis but not to alginate overproduction. This observation emphasizes the need to understand specificity determinants allowing DGCs to communicate with specific c-di-GMP targets and highlights the fact that these determinants may be different even in closely related species and even strains.

In general, we poorly understand how c-di-GMP signaling pathways activate specific target exopolysaccharides. A study by Bassis and Visick (276) shed light on how this is achieved in *Vibrio fischeri*, a bacterium that produces two different types of biofilms, one based on cellulose and another based on the symbiosis polysaccharide Syp. The transcription factor SypG activates expression of the Syp polysaccharide genes along with the *binA* gene, encoding a c-di-GMP PDE (276). BinA controls the degradation of c-di-GMP that is required for cellulose biosynthesis, but it has no effect on the Syp polysaccharide. By coordinating expression of the *syp* and *binA* genes, SypG regulates which type of polysaccharide will be produced.

**Pili as c-di-GMP targets.** Pili or fimbriae are the nonflagellar long filamentous appendages built of protein subunits on the outer surfaces of bacteria. The major assembly classes of fimbriae include those assembled by the chaperone/usher-dependent pathway or by the extracellular nucleation/precipitation pathway (curli fimbriae), type IV fimbriae, and conjugative fertility fimbriae (F pilis). Representatives of all fimbrial classes have been associated with biofilm formation on abiotic and biotic surfaces (277–281), suggesting a potential regulation by c-di-GMP signaling. Expression of fimbriae is tightly controlled, with most fimbriae being cryptic under laboratory conditions. If they are expressed, a highly regulated expression pattern is usually observed. Consistently, negative regulation of fimbrial expression by a c-di-GMP PDE has been found for several classes of fimbriae (158, 282, 283).

*Klebsiella pneumoniae* is an opportunistic pathogen that frequently causes hospital-acquired urinary and respiratory tract infections associated with indwelling devices. In *K. pneumoniae*, type 3 fimbriae facilitate biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces and on human extracellular matrix-coated surfaces (158, 284, 285). The type 3 fimbrial cluster is activated by c-di-GMP on the transcriptional level (120, 158, 286) (Fig. 8). The DGC YfIN stimulates expression of type 3 fimbriae, while the PDE MrkJ downregulates their expression. Transcriptional activation by c-di-GMP is mediated by MrkJ, the first characterized transcriptional factor containing a PilZ domain linked to an LytTR-type DNA binding domain. Upon binding of c-di-GMP, MrkJ interacts with the promoter of the type 3 fimbrial cluster and turns on its expression. The genes encoding the PDE MrkJ and the transcriptional fac-

---

**Fig. 8** Regulation of type 3 fimbriae and Cup fimbriae by c-di-GMP signaling. (A) Type 3 fimbriae of *K. pneumoniae* and Cup fimbriae of *P. aeruginosa* are positively regulated on the transcriptional level by c-di-GMP signaling. An entire regulatory circuit of c-di-GMP signaling has been identified for transcriptional regulation of type 3 fimbriae. The transcriptional regulator MrkJ binds c-di-GMP produced by the DGC YfIN and subsequently activates transcription of the type 3 fimbria *mrkABCD* operon (120, 158), while the PDE MrkJ represses *mrkABCD* transcription by degrading c-di-GMP (158, 286). (B) Transcription of CupA fimbriae is activated in response to detergent (sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS]) exposure by the DGC SiaA (PA2474) and YfIN (PA1120) (97). Transcription of CupB/C fimbriae is repressed by the response regulator PDE RocR (PA3947) (282), while repression of CupD fimbriae requires the response regulator PDE PvrR (283). See the text for further explanation.
tor MrkH are located immediately downstream of the Mrk type 3 fimbrial gene cluster and are transcribed in opposite directions. Type 3 fimbrial gene clusters are present not only in *K. pneumoniae* but also in various species of *Enterobacteriaceae*, and the EAL proteins are found downstream of several but not all of these operons (287), suggesting a similar regulation of type 3 fimbriae by c-di-GMP in some, but perhaps not all, strains.

It is noteworthy that potential EAL domain PDE genes have been found immediately downstream of the *sfu* and *pap* fimbrial operons in those *E. coli* strains that cause newborn meningitis and urinary tract infections, respectively (288). This finding suggests that PDE-mediated downregulation of fimbrial genes may be a common regulatory mechanism of fimbrial expression. In addition, it has recently been shown in a uropathogenic *E. coli* strain that the expression of type 1 fimbriae, which are commonly produced under laboratory conditions, is modulated by PDEs and DGCs (268).

**Cup fimbriae as c-di-GMP targets.** In *P. aeruginosa*, five fimbrial gene clusters of the chaperon/usher pathway (Cup) have been identified to date and are designated *cupA* to - *E* (289). Although specific functions of these fimbriae are unknown, all of them have been shown to either alter adhesive properties of *P. aeruginosa* cells or contribute to biofilm formation. All Cup fimbriae, except for CupE, are regulated by c-di-GMP on the transcriptional level (289).

Certain *P. aeruginosa* strains with a small-colony variant phenotype, isolated from cystic fibrosis patients, show c-di-GMP-dependent enhanced expression of CupA fimbriae through the DGCs Y6N and Mora (97). Detergent stress also leads to a c-di-GMP-dependent protective upregulation of CupA fimbriae through SiaD (PA0169) (97, 290). The *cubP* and *cupC* gene clusters are coregulated by the three-component system Roc1, which consists of one sensor kinase and two response regulators (282). The sensor kinase RocS1 balances the activities of the two response regulators, which have opposite effects on *cubP* and *cupC* gene expression. The RocR response regulator has an EAL domain and possesses PDE activity (118); it reduces *cupC* gene expression by an unknown mechanism. RocR can also be phosphorylated by the sensor kinase RocS2, which also contributes to *cubP* and *cupC* gene expression.

CupD fimbriae were horizontally acquired by *P. aeruginosa* strains through acquisition of the PAPI-1 pathogenicity island. Two distinct two-component systems, including the response regulator PvrR with an EAL PDE output, are encoded among the four genes adjacent to *cubD*. The products of these genes regulate the *cubD* fimbrial gene cluster in a similar way to that for the *cubP* and *cupC* genes (283).

**Type IV pili as c-di-GMP targets.** No other pili are as diverse and ubiquitous as type IV pili (291). Type IV pili have the unique ability to polymerize and retract, thereby conferring twitching motility (292, 293). The presence of type IV pili and twitching motility has been shown to be required for biofilm formation and maturation resulting in a three-dimensional biofilm architecture (199, 210). Type IV pilus biogenesis and twitching motility in *P. aeruginosa* are controlled by c-di-GMP signaling. Type IV pilus biogenesis, but not gene expression, requires the GGDEF-EAL domain FimX response regulator localized at one cell pole (100). FimX senses c-di-GMP via its degenerate EAL domain (85, 133).

Although the molecular mechanisms by which FimX affects type IV pilus biogenesis and twitching motility are unknown for *P. aeruginosa*, studies of the FimX homolog in *X. axonopodis* suggest that FimX interacts with a PilZ protein (Fig. 9). Similarly to FimX, PilZ is required for surface localization and assembly of pilin (146), but it does not bind c-di-GMP (149, 159). PilZ subsequently interacts with PilB, an ATPase required for type IV pilus polymerization. This cascade of protein-protein interactions likely conveys the presence of c-di-GMP to PilB. Interestingly, suppressor mutations of a *P. aeruginosa* fimX mutant which restored type IV pilus biogenesis and partially restored twitching motility were located in genes associated with elevated c-di-GMP levels (294). Those pili were not assembled at the cell pole, however, but produced peritrichously by the cell.

Since a positive role for c-di-GMP in type IV pilus polymerization has been established, might pilus polymerization/retraction be affected oppositely by c-di-GMP? Such a scenario would require c-di-GMP concentrations to oscillate, with a wave period in the second scale. While the sources of c-di-GMP required for pilus biogenesis have not been identified unambiguously, mutations in the *P. aeruginosa* HD-1 GYP PDEs PA4108 and PA4781 and in *Xanthomonas* RpfG have been found to prevent twitching motility (127, 295). In *Xanthomonas*, the ATPases required for pilus retraction, PilU and PilT, interact with another PilZ protein (XC_2249) recruited by a complex of RpfG with two GGDEF domain proteins (295a). This complex, but not either GGDEF protein alone, affects type IV pilus motility (296). Paradoxically, the PilZ protein, which interacts with PilU and PilT, binds c-di-GMP, although ligand binding is not required for twitching motility (295a). Similarly, in *P. aeruginosa*, an additional PilZ domain protein is involved in positive regulation of type IV pilus-based twitching motility (149). Cumulatively, these findings imply molecular mechanisms of pilus protrusion and retraction regulated by fast local fluctuations of c-di-GMP levels.

Phototactic cyanobacteria show type IV pilus-dependent phototaxis toward white light, and this is inhibited by blue light. Differentiated regulation of phototaxis of *Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803* to light of different wavelengths is mediated by Cph2, a complex hybrid photoreceptor with c-di-GMP-metabolizing output (187). Thereby, perception of blue light by the C-terminal light sensor stimulates the DGC activity of the adjacent GGDEF domain and inhibits twitching motility. In this case, as well as in *Pseudomonas* and *Xanthomonas*, elevated c-di-GMP levels inhibit twitching motility.

**Curli fimbriae as c-di-GMP targets.** Amyloid fibers termed curli fimbriae have been studied intensively in *E. coli* and *S. enterica*, where they mediate biofilm formation at low temperatures and are also involved in colonization of host tissues (277). Curli fimbriae are directly and indirectly regulated by c-di-GMP signaling pathways. First, a panel of c-di-GMP-synthesizing and -metabolizing proteins regulate expression of the orphan response regulator CsgD on the transcriptional and posttranscriptional levels. CsgD is a key transcription factor that controls expression of the csgBAC operon, encoding the structural subunits of curli fimbriae (197, 234, 297, 298). Second, the csgBAC operon is subject to specific transcriptional regulation by a DGC and PDE pair, DosC and DosP, that is independent of csgD expression (298, 299). The DosC-DosP pair activates transcription of the csgB promoter under aerobic conditions, consistent with the enzyme activities being oppositely regulated by oxygen, but not under anaerobic conditions (299). In addition to the transcriptional component, c-di-
GMP may affect curli biosynthesis posttranscriptionally (234), through mechanisms that have yet to be uncovered. **Adhesins as c-di-GMP targets.** Besides pili, nonfimbrial adhesins contribute to biofilm formation. Large multirepeat adhesins often participate in biofilm formation through cell surface adherence and as components stabilizing the extracellular matrix. In *Pseudomonas putida* and *P. fluorescens*, the large adhesive protein LapA is required for biofilm formation (300, 301). Specifically, LapA mediates the irreversible surface attachment and cell-to-cell interconnection in the biofilm, which stabilize the biofilm and prevent its dispersal. *P. fluorescens* Pfl0-1 LapA is transported out of the cell by the type 1 secretion system (Fig. 10). LapA can exist as a cell surface-associated form, which promotes bacterial adhesion, and an extracellular form, i.e., released into the medium. Proteolytic processing of LapA at its N terminus transforms one form into the other. Elegant studies by the O’Toole group have uncovered the molecular mechanisms regulating LapA proteolysis in a c-di-GMP-dependent manner. Specifically, at low c-di-GMP levels, LapA is proteolytically processed by the periplasmic protease LapG (68). The proteolytic activity of LapG is regulated by the transmembrane protein LapD, which binds c-di-GMP via its degenerate EAL domain (166). Upon c-di-GMP binding, LapD transmits the signal by an inside-out mechanism through its HAMP domain to the periplasmic domain (67, 68). The LapA surface transition is regulated by a specific c-di-GMP signaling network responding to low levels of inorganic phosphate through the PhoR/PhoB two-component system, which upregulates the PDE RapA (302). This system represents a rare example where a complete signal transduction cascade has been elucidated at the molecular level, from primary signal (phosphate) sensing to surface attachment via LapA. The LapD/LapG proteolysis regulatory system is conserved in a wide variety of bacteria, some of which are pathogenic (67, 303). Interestingly, in the phytopathogen *Pectobacterium atrosepticum*, the expression of the LapA adhesin is regulated by a DGC and a PDE encoded downstream of the operon (115). These enzymes are not conserved in *P. fluorescens*, which suggests that they respond to different environmental signals. Besides LapA, RTX-like bacterial toxins have been identified as putative substrates based on predicted LapG cleavage site sequences (67, 303).

FIG 9 Regulation of virulence, type IV pilus motility, and biofilm formation in *X. campestris*. The transcriptional regulator Clp controls a virulence regulon of more than 300 genes, among them engXCA, encoding endoglucanase. Cyclic di-GMP binding to Clp prevents transcription and abolishes virulence. The c-di-GMP PDEs RavR and RpfG additively create an environment low in c-di-GMP, which is required for the activity of Clp. Hypoxia and the diffusible signaling factor DSF activate the respective sensor kinases RavS and RpfC, which phosphorylate RavR and RpfG. RpfF is required for the synthesis of DSF. Besides activation of virulence, RpfG but not RavR is required for type IV pili-mediated motility and repression of biofilm formation. Piiz domain-containing proteins XC_2249 and XC_3221 appear to function as an adaptor in the interaction of two different protein complexes with two different ATPases. RpfG in a complex with the diguanylate cyclases XC_0249 and XC_0420 recruits the XC_2249 adaptor and interacts with the PII7/PII4 ATPases required for pilus retraction (295a). This interaction stimulates motility, as does XC_3221-mediated interaction of the c-di-GMP binding protein FimX with the pilus polymerization ATPase PilB. Mechanisms of RpfG suppression of biofilm formation involve inhibition of transcription of the putative exopolysaccharide operon xagABC and activation of dispersion (222).

The LapA surface transition is regulated by a specific c-di-GMP signaling network responding to low levels of inorganic phosphate through the PhoR/PhoB two-component system, which upregulates the PDE RapA (302). This system represents a rare example where a complete signal transduction cascade has been elucidated at the molecular level, from primary signal (phosphate) sensing to surface attachment via LapA. The LapD/LapG proteolysis regulatory system is conserved in a wide variety of bacteria, some of which are pathogenic (67, 303). Interestingly, in the phytopathogen *Pectobacterium atrosepticum*, the expression of the LapA adhesin is regulated by a DGC and a PDE encoded downstream of the operon (115). These enzymes are not conserved in *P. fluorescens*, which suggests that they respond to different environmental signals. Besides LapA, RTX-like bacterial toxins have been identified as putative substrates based on predicted LapG cleavage site sequences (67, 303).

In *P. aeruginosa*, the CdrAB two-partner secretion system, which includes a large nonfimbrial β-helical adhesin, is positively regulated on the transcriptional level by c-di-GMP signaling (304). Upon posttranslational derepression of its DGC activity, YfN activates transcription of *cdrAB* (274).

**Complex regulation of biofilm formation by c-di-GMP via CsgD-like transcriptional regulators.** Regulation of biofilm formation by c-di-GMP may occur at various levels. Therefore, multiple c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes are often identified as being involved in biofilm formation in genetic screens (167, 197, 204, 234, 242, 297, 298). However, it is worth remembering that individual targets contributing to biofilm formation are often reg-
ulated by distinct DGC-PDE signaling circuits, different DGC-PDE signaling circuits may contribute under different conditions, and a single important target may be regulated on multiple levels (Fig. 11).

In *V. cholerae*, rugose colony formation is regulated by at least seven DGCs and four PDEs (161, 305–307) (Fig. 12). Expression of the Vps (*Vibrio* polysaccharide) is the major characteristic of the rugose colony morphology. The positive transcriptional regulators VpsR and VpsT activate *vps* gene expression, whereby the major biofilm regulator VpsR is required for *vpsT* transcription. Most of the c-di-GMP turnover enzymes affect rugose colony morphology via its positive regulators, VpsT and VpsR, both of which work as c-di-GMP receptors and are activated upon c-di-GMP binding. Note that not all *V. cholerae* DGCs and PDEs affect Vps expression, which highlights the issue of target specificity of c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes. However, as many as five DGCs have been shown to contribute to activity and localization of VpsT (307).

In addition to Vps polysaccharide, matrix proteins may contribute to the development of rugose colonies in a c-di-GMP-dependent manner (308). Also, at least one of five PilZ domain proteins is required for c-di-GMP-mediated biofilm formation in *V. cholerae*, by an as yet unknown mechanism (147).

Another example of multifactorial c-di-GMP control is the rdar morphotype of *S. enterica*, which is regulated by at least five DGCs and four PDEs (30, 226, 234, 297; I. Ahmad and U. Römling, unpublished data). In this case, the main target of c-di-GMP is the master regulator of biofilm formation CsgD, which is controlled on the transcriptional and posttranscriptional levels by largely unknown mechanisms. In the closely related organism *E. coli*, a distinct set of DGCs and PDEs regulates *csgD* transcription (49, 197, 298). CsgD expression is tightly coupled to the activities

---

**FIG 10** Cyclic di-GMP signaling circuit controlling surface location of the *P. fluorescens* adhesin LapA. LapA can exist in a cell surface-associated or proteolytically processed supernatant released form. Cyclic di-GMP binding to the c-di-GMP receptor LapD (Pfl01_0131) sequesters the periplasmic protease LapG and prevents LapA cleavage (67, 68). Cyclic di-GMP dedicated to binding to LapD is produced by the DGCs GcbB (Pfl01_1789) and GcbC (Pfl01_4666), while RapA (Pfl01_1678) degrades the respective c-di-GMP (167, 302). LapEBC proteins constitute components of the type I secretion system. The PhoR-PhoB two-component system responds to alterations in environmental phosphate levels to regulate LapA’s surface location (302). Low phosphate activates the response regulator PhoB, which represses the expression of the LapA type I secretion system and activates expression of the c-di-GMP PDE RapA. RapA activity favors the supernatant released form of LapA and subsequently leads to biofilm dispersal.

**FIG 11** Specificity and redundancy of c-di-GMP-mediated regulation of target output. The apparent redundancy of c-di-GMP signaling proteins in biofilm formation and other phenotypes might be caused by distinct molecular mechanisms. (A) More than one target is required for phenotype output, and individual targets are affected by distinct c-di-GMP signaling pathways consisting of a pair of c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins and an effector protein. (B) One target causes the phenotype output. Cyclic di-GMP from several cyclic di-GMP-metabolizing proteins simultaneously contributes to target output through one effector. (C) Alternatively, target output is affected by c-di-GMP signaling on several levels through different effector proteins. Specific c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins provide the c-di-GMP for each effector.
FIG 12 Regulation of biofilm formation in *V. cholerae* El Tor. The transcriptional regulators VpsR and VpsT activate expression of the Vps expression polysaccharide required for rugose colony morphology and biofilm formation upon c-di-GMP binding (242). The c-di-GMP signaling network that regulates biofilm formation consists of various DGCs (CdgA, CdgG, CdgH, and VpsC) and PDEs (RocS, MbaA, and CdgC). *V. cholerae* quorum sensing represses biofilm formation through the quorum sensing regulator HapR, which regulates c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins, among them CdgA and CdgG, and the transcription of *vpsT* (62). On the other hand, HapR is repressed by c-di-GMP through VpsT and VpsR via the virulence regulator AphA (459).

of the PDE YhjH and several DGCs involved in motility control (197, 297). CsgD in turn directly activates the csgRAC operon, encoding the subunits of curli fimbriae, as well as *adrA*, encoding the DGC required for activation of cellulose biosynthesis (309). Although CsgD does not appear to affect flagellar motility in *S. enterica* in a major way, it does affect the expression of distinct genes of the flagellar regulon (309, 310) and is involved in flagellum-mediated stimulation of the innate immune response (311).

VpsT of *V. cholerae* and CsgD are members of the CsgD family of LuxR-type helix-turn-helix DNA-binding proteins. CsgD family members are found mainly in the *Gamma* proteobacteria, in representatives of the families *Vibrionaceae, Enterobacteriaceae*, and *Aeromonadaceae*. However, the c-di-GMP binding motif [W(F/L/M)/T(S)R] of VpsT is not present in the enterobacterial proteobacteria, suggesting that not all CsgD family members bind c-di-GMP (84, 309). While the genomes of most enterobacterial species harbor one CsgD homolog gene, up to five CsgD paralog genes are found in *Vibrio* species. In *V. parahaemolyticus*, which harbors four CsgD paralogs, at least two, CpsQ and CpsS, affect expression of the capsular polysaccharide operon, which mediates biofilm formation. CpsQ represses transcription of the cps operon, while CpsS binds c-di-GMP and activates the cps operon in response to elevated c-di-GMP levels (174, 312).

**Additional aspects of biofilm regulation by c-di-GMP signaling.** Although activation of biofilm components by elevated levels of c-di-GMP is the main theme in biofilm formation, 3D biofilm structures and maintenance may be affected by lower c-di-GMP levels. For example, cell lysis-dependent production of extracellular DNA (eDNA), which is necessary for mature biofilm structures in many species, is stimulated by low c-di-GMP levels (313). Furthermore, the 3D architecture of mature biofilms is affected by motile cells on the biofilm surface, and lower c-di-GMP levels contribute to the sessility-to-motility transition of biofilm cells. Last but not least, biofilm dispersion, an integral part of long-term biofilm maintenance, requires the presence of specific PDEs (200, 314, 315). A recent study provided valuable clues to the molecular mechanism of dispersion in response to starvation signals (315a).

In *P. aeruginosa*, the dispersion-specific PDE DmpA was activated by interaction with the active form of the chemotaxis transducer protein BldA. Surprisingly, BldA activation required c-di-GMP-dependent phosphorylation and subsequent nonprocessive proteolysis (315a).

**Regulation of Cell Cycle and Differentiation**

Cell cycle and swimming- to stalked-cell differentiation in *C. crescentus*. The freshwater bacterium *C. crescentus* undergoes a morphological development from a free-swimming motile swarmer to a sessile stalked cell (316). While the swarmer cell possesses polar flagella and adhesive pili at one cell pole, an adhesive holdfast and a stalk are subsequently developed on the same pole to promote surface adhesion. This cell differentiation into a stalked cell is tightly coupled with the initiation of the cell cycle. The stalked cell becomes competent for DNA replication and subsequently initiates an asymmetric cell division to produce a swarmer cell. After cell division, the stalked cell starts a new round of replication, while the swarmer cell is replication resistant unless it attaches to a surface and develops into a stalked cell. The stem-cell-like sessile reproductive lifestyle of *C. crescentus* makes the requirement for progeny with different behavior obvious. While the mother cell stays anchored, the daughter cell always swims away to explore new ecological niches. In *C. crescentus*, phospho-transfer signaling intimately integrates with c-di-GMP signaling into the regulation of cell cycle progression and cell differentiation (Fig. 13).

In accordance with its positive role in promoting sessility (5), c-di-GMP peaks upon transition from a swarmer cell to a stalked cell (317). Collectively, two DGCs, PleD and DgcB, drive the differentiation of the swarmer cell into a stalked cell through holdfast biogenesis and stalk initiation and elongation (24, 108, 318). In parallel, these DGCs share the task of counteracting motility, whereby DgcB controls motility inhibition and PleD controls the ejection of the flagellum (24, 108, 318). The PDE PdeA modulates the timing of the swarmer- to stalked-cell transition by preventing premature initiation of the holdfast (318). While many of the molecular mechanisms of the downstream events remain elusive, remarkable progress has been made in understanding the regulation of c-di-GMP signaling during the swarmer- to stalked-cell transition in *C. crescentus*.

The required peak in c-di-GMP concentration is achieved by at least two events. First, the DGC PleD (REC-REC-GGDEF) becomes activated in the stalked cell, and second, the PDE PdeA, which counteracts the activity of the constitutively expressed DgcB protein, is proteolytically degraded upon entry into S phase. Both events are coordinated by complex phosphorylation cascades (317, 318). PleD requires phosphorylation of the conserved aspartate in the REC domain for stimulation of its DGC activity (37). Two histidine kinases, DivI and PleC, which are temporarily localized at the stalked pole during the swarmer- to stalked-cell transition, contribute to PleD phosphorylation. The single-domain response regulator DivK, which is sequestered by DivI to the cell pole, stimulates the autokinase activity of both DivI and PleC.
This interaction allows efficient phosphotransfer to PleD (317, 319). Subsequently, activated PleD accumulates at the stalked cell pole and promotes the swarmer-to stalked-cell transition. Because DivK is also essential for cell cycle progression through regulation of CtrA (320), DivK couples cell differentiation with cell cycle progression.

Degradation of the PDE PdeA by the ClpXP protease upon swarmer-to stalked-cell transition occurs upon recruitment of both proteins to the cell pole (318). The single-domain response regulator CpdR, in its unphosphorylated form, recruits PdeA and ClpXP to the cell pole and acts as an adaptor protein for PdeA degradation. The CpdR-ClpXP complex couples cell differentiation with cell cycle progression, as polarly recruited ClpXP also degrades the essential cell cycle regulator CtrA. CtrA controls more than 100 cell cycle genes and directly binds to the chromosomal origin of replication to repress replication initiation. Proteolysis of CtrA requires c-di-GMP. The response regulator PopA, a PleD paralog, is enzymatically inactive but strongly binds c-di-GMP through its intact I site (162). PopA remains localized at the differentiating pole upon swarmer-to stalked-cell transition, where it mediates S-phase entry and CtrA degradation upon c-di-GMP binding to its I site (162). DgrA and DgrB are PilZ domain c-di-GMP receptor proteins (51) which stall motility upon elevated c-di-GMP levels. DgrA and DgrB potentially act in the predivisional cell, stalling motility before the completion of cytokinesis and/or upon surface sensing, when the flagellar rotation slows down (460).

Low c-di-GMP levels seem to be required for correct development of swarmer cells (225, 318). In swarmer cells, PleD is inactivated as it becomes dephosphorylated by PleC, which is switched from a kinase to a phosphatase mode. The predicted EAL domain PDE TipF is required for flagellum assembly (225). A tipF mutant lacks flagella, whereby the translation and secretion of the basal body hook subunit FlgE and the flagellin FljK are severely impaired. TipF is localized at the undifferentiated pole during swarmer and stalked cell development, where upon cell division it aids flagellum biogenesis in the swarmer cell. Subsequently, TipF is recruited to the division plane where the newborn undifferentiated pole is formed. TipN is required for recruitment and proper localization of TipF, with subsequent flagellum assembly. In addition, the PDE PdeA is expressed primarily in the swarmer cells, where it counteracts the DGC activity of DgcB, presumably via protein-protein interaction. Although a flagellum is still formed upon deletion of PdeA, motility is partially impaired. The PilZ domain c-di-GMP receptor proteins DgrA and DgrB potentially mediate stalled motility upon elevated c-di-GMP levels in swarmer cells (51). DgrA, but not DgrB, is involved in downregulating FlhF, which is required for flagellum rotation.

The above-described genetic and biochemical studies unraveled a distinct distribution of c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins and c-di-GMP receptors in the swarmer cell and stalked cell upon cell division. Altogether, a body of evidence suggests that c-di-GMP is asymmetrically distributed between the dividing swarmer cell and stalked cell. However, can these spatial and temporal differences in c-di-GMP concentrations be measured directly? Christen et al. developed just such a tool. They made a c-di-GMP sensor based on the PilZ domain c-di-GMP receptor YcgR from S. enterica (219). As YcgR undergoes a dramatic conformational...
change upon c-di-GMP binding (50), the distance between two different fluorescent proteins, coupled to the N-terminal PilZ domain (YcgR1) and the C-terminal PilZ domain of YcgR, is altered, which leads to changes in FRET. Using this c-di-GMP sensor, a transient 5-fold drop in c-di-GMP concentration was observed in the swarmer cell compared to the stalked cell immediately after cell division. Low c-di-GMP levels in both cell types were observed for the pleD mutant, while the pleC mutant displayed high concentrations of c-di-GMP in the swarmer cell, consistent with PleC negatively regulating PleD activity in those cells (108). Similar asymmetric distributions of c-di-GMP concentrations upon cell division were observed in P. aeruginosa, S. enterica, and K. pneumoniae, suggesting that cell division is sufficient to create phenotypic diversity.

Axenic- to predatory-lifestyle transition in B. bacteriovorus. Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus represents yet another example of cell differentiation gone wrong as a result of impaired c-di-GMP signaling. This deltaproteobacterium has two lifestyles, axenic and predatory. It predatesthe proteobacteria, including important human, animal, and plant pathogens, and is considered a potential “live antibiotic.” After entering the periplasm of a prey bacterium, B. bacteriovorus undergoes a transition to a bdelloplast stage, where it replicates inside the periplasm, feeding on the prey nutrients. Upon prey exhaustion, several motile progeny of the attack-phase cells emerge (321). Hobley et al. (153) demonstrated that two specific c-di-GMP signaling cascades play unique, non-overlapping roles in the axenic- to predatory-lifestyle transition. One of the three DGCs of B. bacteriovorus, DgcB (Bd074), controls invasion of prey bacteria. It probably signals through the I-site c-di-GMP receptor CdgA, located at the prey-interacting “nose” of Bdellovibrio. A dgcB mutant is predation impaired and therefore locked in the axenic lifestyle. In contrast, a mutant in the second DGC, DgcC (Bd1434), is an obligate predator, incapable of living outside prey, even in rich media. This obligately predatory mutant is a good candidate for a live antibiotic. Notably, deletion of the third DGC, DgcA, impairs the gliding motility of B. bacteriovorus required for newly “hatched” bacteria to leave their exhausted prey. Therefore, DgcA also contributes, in a very distinct way, to the axenic- to predatory-lifestyle transition of B. bacteriovorus. Aside from the unique c-di-GMP-dependent lifestyle transition, the story of a miniature bacterial parasite provides irrefutable evidence of the extreme specificity of c-di-GMP signaling pathways in this bacterium. Each of the three functional DGCs in B. bacteriovorus has a unique role that does not overlap the roles of other DGCs (153).

Cyclic di-GMP in cell differentiation in multicellular bacteria. The first observation linking c-di-GMP to cell differentiation in multicellular bacteria was described by Neunuebel and Golden (195). Upon nitrogen starvation, the filamentous cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7120 forms specialized cells, called heterocysts. The main function of heterocysts is to perform oxygen-sensitive nitrogen fixation as opposed to oxygen-evolving photosynthesis, and thus to supply the multicellular filament with fixed nitrogen. In wild-type Anabaena, the interval between two heterocysts is approximately 25 vegetative, photosynthesizing cells. However, inactivation of one of the GGDEF domain DGCs, All2874, resulted in a large increase in the intervals between the heterocysts, to approximately 200 vegetative cells. Interestingly, this phenotype was most pronounced at a high light intensity. The authors of this study showed that All2874 acts upstream of HetR, the master transcriptional regulator in differentiating cells, but how c-di-GMP affects the differentiation gene program remains to be investigated.

Another piece of evidence documenting the involvement of c-di-GMP in multicellular differentiation comes from Streptomyces coelicolor, a nonmotile filamentous soil actinobacterium known for its complex morphological differentiation. Streptomyces have significant pharmacological importance because they produce over two-thirds of currently used antibiotics. The life cycle of S. coelicolor begins with germination of a free spore that produces a long, branching vegetative filament. Vegetative filaments grow into and on the substrate surface but rarely divide, yielding a network of multicellular hyphae. These hyphae undergo a sporulation-like cell division process, resulting in an aerial mycelium comprised of spores, each of which contains only one set of genomic DNA, that subsequently metamorphose into mature spores (322). den Hengst et al. first discovered that overexpression of the DGC designated Cdga (SCO2817) blocks formation of aerial hyphae and results in a bald colony appearance (182). Overexpression of yet another DGC, CdgB (SCO4281), resulted in the impaired formation of aerial mycelium. It is peculiar that the cdgB deletion also showed impaired hypha formation (323). Two EAL domain PDEs, RmdA (SCO0928) and RmdB (SCO5495), were also identified as responsible for hypha formation. Their individual knockouts delayed hypha formation, while a double knockout resulted in a hypha-less, bald phenotype (196). It is worth noting that not only was mycelium formation affected by c-di-GMP in S. coelicolor, but pigmentation and antibiotic actinorhodin production, both of which are known markers of cell development in this species, were also affected. The studies in multicellular bacteria extend the importance of c-di-GMP-dependent signal transduction in bacterial differentiation.

Cyclic di-GMP and Virulence

Specific c-di-GMP signaling pathways may affect various aspects of virulence. Genetic screens demonstrated that c-di-GMP signaling pathways affect virulence in numerous animal and plant pathogens (7, 183, 203–205, 311).

The first observations of a role of c-di-GMP signaling in virulence were in V. cholerae, as elevated expression of the cholera toxin, a major virulence factor, was promoted by low c-di-GMP levels in vitro, and elevated c-di-GMP levels attenuated virulence in the infant mouse model of cholera (40). These early observations helped to shape the view that an acute infection requires low c-di-GMP levels or, as an extreme, does not require c-di-GMP at all (7). Several recent studies of pathogens with few c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins support this view. Deletion of the functional DGCs demonstrated that c-di-GMP signaling is not required for virulence of Yersinia pestis in the plague mouse model (183). The Lyme disease spirochete B. burgdorferi caused infection in mice when its only DGC was deleted (194). The deletion of all GGDEF domain genes did decrease the virulence of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis in a mouse model of systemic infection; however, virulence was restored upon expression of the enzymatically inactive mutant of the GGDEF domain gene, STM4551, suggesting that c-di-GMP plays no role in virulence in this model (324). Deletion of all PDEs reduced virulence, while deletion of all DGCs increased virulence, in a mouse model of Brucella melitensis infection (203). Furthermore, high c-di-GMP levels inhibited acute infections of Y. pestis and B. burgdorferi be-
cause of the expression of extracellular biofilm matrix (128, 183). Also in Francisella novicida, c-di-GMP inhibits virulence in mice and intracellular replication in macrophages (324a). F. novicida, which is essentially avirulent, contains a gene cluster with two GGDEF-EAL domain proteins, in contrast to its close relative Francisella tularensis, which is highly pathogenic for humans. These cumulative data suggest that constitutively elevated c-di-GMP levels are detrimental for acute infections.

However, subsequent genetic screens in pathogens containing sophisticated c-di-GMP signaling networks have uncovered a more complex picture in which deletion of specific DGCs and PDEs affects virulence in an unpredictable fashion (204, 205, 311). In the murine model of burn wound infection, c-di-GMP was found generally inhibiting the virulence of P. aeruginosa; however, virulence was reduced in some deletion mutants of PDEs as well as in a mutant of the DGC SdcD (204). A systematic screen for virulence phenotypes in the plant pathogen X. campestris did not find a correlation between the predicted changes in c-di-GMP concentrations and virulence (205). Colonization of the mouse gastrointestinal tract by S. enterica revealed that c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes with opposite functions contribute to virulence in the same direction (311). These data cumulatively suggest that highly regulated c-di-GMP signaling is required for acute virulence.

What could be the reason for opposite functional c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins working in the same direction? Possibly, certain biofilm-related phenotypes positively stimulated by c-di-GMP signaling are required at some stage of acute infection. As an example, an investigation into the V. cholerae infection process revealed a temporal and spatial requirement for opposite c-di-GMP-metabolizing processes at different disease stages. In contrast to early infection, at the late stage, a group of GGDEF/EAL genes were induced, suggesting an increased c-di-GMP concentration, but mutants in individual genes did not have growth disadvantages in the small intestines of mice (325, 326). A mutant in three of these GGDEF genes was, however, defective in survival in wetary stool from the large bowel, suggesting that bacteria prepare for their further transition through the host without a disease phenotype (325). A temporal and spatial requirement of opposite c-di-GMP-metabolizing processes was also indicated for S. enterica, as deletion of a putative PDE abolished colonization of the mouse gastrointestinal tract after the first day, while DGC mutants were defective in colonization after day 10 (311). These findings suggest that processes inhibited as well as activated by c-di-GMP may play unique roles in the course of acute infections and that virulence may be considered a “phenotype” only at first approximation. In reality, every acute infection consists of complex interactions between various host systems and a pathogen constantly adapting to changing environments inside the host.

The increased virulence of pathogen mutants expected to have elevated c-di-GMP levels in vivo suggests that certain biofilm-related functions may be beneficial during the course of acute infections. This has been demonstrated best for the related obligate intracellular pathogens Anaplasma phagocytophilum and Ehrlichia chaffeensis. These pathogens infect immune cells (327), where they replicate as intracellular aggregates called morulae, which resemble biofilms. Expression of a gene encoding a single GGDEF domain protein in A. phagocytophilum or E. chaffeensis coincides with formation of morulae in host cells (328, 329). Treatment with a hydrophobic c-di-GMP analog suggested that c-di-GMP signaling is required for formation of morulae, intracellular proliferation, and enhanced bacterial intra-inclusion movement (328, 329). The expression of the GGDEF domain protein during human infection by A. phagocytophilum (329) provides convincing evidence that c-di-GMP signaling is required for acute infection by this bacterium.

Mechanisms of c-di-GMP signaling affecting virulence. The following phenotypes have been associated with c-di-GMP signaling: adherence to host cells, host cell invasion, cytotoxicity, intracellular infection, secretion of virulence factors, resistance to oxidative stress, and modulation of immune responses (40, 164, 204, 311, 329–335) (Table 6).

All types of secretion systems have been shown to be subject to c-di-GMP-mediated regulation (Table 7). Secretion of such type II secretion system substrate as the cholera toxin in V. cholerae, endomannanases and endoglucanases in X. campestris, and pectate lyase production components in Dickeya dadantii (formerly Erwinia chrysanthemi) is inhibited by c-di-GMP (40, 205, 339). Direct and indirect transcriptional repression by c-di-GMP of genes encoding secreted proteins has been observed. In V. cholerae, the PDE VieA is required for optimal transcriptional expression of toxT, encoding a transcriptional activator of the cholera toxin (40). In X. campestris, Clp is a transcriptional activator of genes coding for many extracellular enzymes and exopolysaccharides (341), including engA, encoding an endoglucanase that functions as a major virulence factor of X. campestris (Fig. 9). Binding of c-di-GMP to Clp abolished binding to and activation of the engXCA promoter (170, 222).

Type III secretion systems are also negatively affected by c-di-GMP (127, 311, 339, 340). Two PDEs are required for expression of the type III secretion system genes of D. dadantii. This regulation occurs through c-di-GMP-dependent transcriptional regulation of the σ2 factor (RpoN). RpoN activates transcription of the alternative sigma factor HrpL, which is required for the activation of transcription of type III secretion system genes (339). In the same vein, expression of the type III secretion system is inhibited by overexpression of DGCs in P. aeruginosa (340), and secretion of the effector protein ExoS is inhibited by deletion of HD-GYP PDEs (127). In S. enterica, GGDEF/EAL proteins regulate the secretion of type III secretion system effector proteins, but their c-di-GMP-metabolizing activity is not required (311).

Cyclic di-GMP signaling has a stimulating effect on type IV secretion. In E. chaffeensis, expression of VirB6–2, a component of the type IV secretion system, requires c-di-GMP (331). The expression of this and other surface-exposed proteins, not related to type IV secretion, is regulated by c-di-GMP-dependent proteolysis. TRP120, a protein exposed on the bacterial surface and a component of the matrix of morulae, is degraded by the surface-exposed protease HtrA, in a c-di-GMP-dependent manner (331).

Flagella are virulence factors required for pathogenicity, immunostimulation, and invasion into epithelial cells (342, 343). In B. melitensis, deletion of the PDE-encoding gene, bpdA, causes avirulence in a mouse model of infection (203). The bpdA deletion is associated with decreased transcription of flagellar genes in vitro. In line with this observation, the virulence of a flagellar gene deletion mutant was also partially impaired. A nonflagellated CdpA PDE mutant of Burkholderia pseudomallei was less cytotoxic to THP-1 human macrophage cells and showed reduced invasion of A549 human lung epithelial cells (337). Since flagellar expression is required for invasion and cytotoxicity of B. pseudomallei (344), the lack of flagellum expression in the cdpA mutant most
likely contributed to the downregulation of virulence. Flagellin, the monomeric subunit of flagella, is a pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) recognized by Toll-like receptor 5 (TLR5) (345). The binding of flagellin to TLR5 triggers a subsequent immune response in the host cells. High levels of c-di-GMP inhibit inflammation caused by *S. enterica*, as judged by the decreased induction of the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin-8 (IL-8) in the intestinal cell line HT-29 (311, 333). Repression of flagellin secretion is most likely responsible for the downregulation of the immune response (333).

Adherence to and invasion of intestinal cells by the Crohn’s disease-associated adherent-invasive *E. coli* strain LF82 depend on flagella and type 1 pili (346). Expression of type 1 pili partially requires the PDE YhjH and is inhibited by the DGC AdrA (336). Type 1 pilus expression was stimulated by low c-di-GMP levels. Although the role of c-di-GMP signaling in the biogenesis of type 1 fimbriae has not been elucidated, the observed phenotype is unconventional because expression of adhesive pili in other strains is stimulated by elevated c-di-GMP levels (158).

A genetic screen for *P. aeruginosa* mutants altered in cytotoxicity to CHO cells revealed that mutants in c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes with opposite activities operate in the same direction (204). This may be possible because cytotoxicity depends on both type III secretion (negatively regulated by c-di-GMP) and type IV pili (positively regulated by c-di-GMP) (347). Although type III secretion system-mediated invasion of epithelial cells by *S. enterica* is inhibited by high c-di-GMP levels (333), some DGCs were found to stimulate invasion (311). This may be another case where c-di-GMP-inhibited type III secretion is countered by c-di-GMP-stimulated adhesion via type IV pili, which are required for invasion (348–350).

Another level of complexity comes from the fact that *in vitro* and *in vivo* virulence phenotypes do not necessarily correlate. For *P. aeruginosa*, a lack of correlation between CHO cell cytotoxicity and virulence was reported for a mouse model of burn wounds. Although mutants in *fimX* and *wspR* showed cytotoxicity phenotypes, they had no virulence phenotypes *in vivo* (204). Different activities of c-di-GMP signaling pathways *in vitro* and *in vivo* may be responsible for this phenomenon. In support of this view, endoglucanase and endomannanase in *Xanthomonas* were found to be regulated by c-di-GMP signaling in one growth medium but not in another (205). Different levels of importance of c-di-GMP

### TABLE 6 Virulence phenotypes affected by c-di-GMP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Host or cell line</th>
<th>c-di-GMP level</th>
<th>Cyclic di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes involved</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>In vivo virulence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>X. campestris</em></td>
<td>Chinese radish</td>
<td></td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>PDE RpfG and 12 additional PDEs/DGCs</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>Thermal injury mouse model</td>
<td></td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>5 DGCs/PDEs</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. Typhimurium</em></td>
<td>Enterocolitis in streptomycin-treated mouse</td>
<td></td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>PDE STM3615, DGC STM2672, DGC STM4551</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. phagocytophilum</em></td>
<td>Human granulocytic anaplasmosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>DGC PldG&lt;sub&gt;Ap&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. melitensis</em></td>
<td>Mouse spleen infection</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>PDEs BpdA and BpdB, DGC CgsB</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. novicida</em></td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Overexpression of DGC</td>
<td>324a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adherence to host cells</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherent-invasive <em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>Intestinal epithelial cell line intestine-407</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>PDE YhjH, DGC AdrA</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uropathogenic <em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>Bladder epithelial cell line UM-UC-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>DGC YdeH, PDE C1610, and 10 additional DGCs/PDEs</td>
<td>288a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. pseudomallei</em></td>
<td>Lung epithelial cells (A549)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>PDE CdpA</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Host cell invasion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherent-invasive <em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>Intestine-407 cells</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>PDE YhjH</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. chaffeensis</em></td>
<td>Macrophage-like cell line THP-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>PDE PldEc</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. Typhimurium</em></td>
<td>Intestinal cell line HT-29</td>
<td></td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>DGCs STM1987 and STM4551, PDEs STM3611 and STM4264</td>
<td>311, 333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cytotoxicity to host cells</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. pseudomallei</em></td>
<td>A549 cells</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>PDE CdpA</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. pneumophila</em></td>
<td>THP-1 cells</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>Chinese hamster ovary cell line CHO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>DGCs, PDEs</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intracellular infection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. phagocytophilum</em></td>
<td>Human myelocytic leukemia HL-60 cells</td>
<td></td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>DGC PldG&lt;sub&gt;Ap&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. chaffeensis</em></td>
<td>THP-1 cells</td>
<td></td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>DGC PldEc</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. pneumophila</em></td>
<td>THP-1 cells</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>DGCs</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. novicida</em></td>
<td>Macrophage-like cell line J774</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Overexpression of DGCs</td>
<td>324a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Modulation of immune response</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. Typhimurium</em></td>
<td>HT-29 cells</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>DGC STM1283, PDEs STM4264 and STM2503</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
signaling pathways in vivo and in vitro have also been observed in Y. pestis, where stimulation of PAG-based biofilm formation in the arthropod host, the flea, required a DGC that played only a minor role in biofilm formation in vitro (351). Thus, contributions of c-di-GMP signaling pathways to virulence depend on the environmental conditions, so direct extrapolation of in vitro findings to the in vivo situation is not warranted.

**Contributions of c-di-GMP signaling pathways to chronic infections.** The majority of chronic infections involve a biofilm stage; therefore, c-di-GMP signaling pathways play important roles in chronic infections (7). After a year-long persistence in cystic fibrosis lungs, P. aeruginosa develops small-colony variants (352, 353) with elevated intracellular c-di-GMP levels (97, 206, 354). These variants are characterized by enhanced biofilm formation, high fimbrial expression, repression of flagellar genes, resistance to phagocytosis, and enhanced antibiotic resistance (96, 97, 206, 245). Small-colony variants generated in vitro as well as obtained from clinical isolates contained mutations that upregulate the activity of the DGC TpbB (YfiN), suggesting a key role of this enzyme (193, 245). The importance of c-di-GMP for enhanced persistence of P. aeruginosa has also been demonstrated in a chinchilla model of middle ear infection (355).

In P. aeruginosa, the RetS/LadS/GacS signaling cascade regulates the transition from acute to chronic infection. In a ladS mutant, which promotes chronic infection and exopolysaccharide production, the DGCs WspR and PA0290 are specifically associated with suppression of type III secretion and enhanced expression of type VI secretion, which was shown to be important in chronic infections (340).

**Role of c-di-GMP signaling in pathogen transmission.** Besides their direct contribution to virulence, c-di-GMP signaling pathways play important roles in pathogen transmission. Y. pestis and B. burgdorferi are transmitted by arthropod vectors. Although c-di-GMP signaling has no role in the virulence of Y. pestis and B. burgdorferi in mice, it plays a determinative role in growth in the arthropod vectors and in transmission between the arthropod and human hosts. For Y. pestis, transmission from the flea to the human host involves the c-di-GMP-activated PAG-based biofilm (351, 356). B. burgdorferi requires its sole DGC, Rrp1, for activation of the glycerol transport/catabolism operon in the tick (194). Glycerol produced by ticks may serve B. burgdorferi as an antifreeze as well as a carbon source.

While low c-di-GMP levels are necessary for cholera toxin synthesis and acute infection by V. cholerae, it was found that expression of several DGCs is induced in the late stages of disease, suggesting a role of increased c-di-GMP synthesis. While mutants in

---

**TABLE 7 Secretion systems**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secretion system type and phenotype</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Molecular mechanism of c-di-GMP</th>
<th>Cyclic-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes involved</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface association vs secretion of LapA adhesin</td>
<td><em>P. fluorescens</em></td>
<td>Inhibition of proteolysis of LapA by LapG through c-di-GMP receptor LapD sequestration</td>
<td>DGCs GcbB and GcbC, PDE RapA</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretion of LapA-like surface adhesin</td>
<td><em>P. atrosepticum</em></td>
<td>Transcriptional activation</td>
<td>DGC ECA3270, PDE ECA3271</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expression of CtxAB cholera toxin</td>
<td><em>V. cholerae</em></td>
<td>Inhibition of transcription of transcriptional activator ToxT c-di-GMP receptor Clp-mediated relief of transcription</td>
<td>PDE VieA</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretion of endoglucanase and endomannanase</td>
<td><em>X. campestris</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>PDE RpfG</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretion of pectate lyase</td>
<td><em>D. dadantii</em></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>PDEs EcpB and EcpC</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcription of TTSS</td>
<td><em>D. dadantii</em></td>
<td>Inhibition of expression of the sigma factor RpoN</td>
<td>PDEs EcpB and EcpC</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expression of TTSS proteins</td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>DGC WspR</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expression of T4SS protein VirB6-2</td>
<td><em>E. chaffeensis</em></td>
<td>Proteolysis</td>
<td>DGC PleD&lt;sub&gt;Ec&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type 5a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcription of cdrAB</td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>c-di-GMP receptor FleQ-mediated transcription</td>
<td>DGC YfiN</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type 5b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>No c-di-GMP-affected function known</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type 6</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expression of T6SS proteins</td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>DGC WspR</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flagella</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expression of flagella</td>
<td><em>B. melitensis</em></td>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>PDE BpdA, DGC STM1283, PDEs STM4264 and STM2503</td>
<td>203, 333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretion of flagellin</td>
<td><em>S. Typhimurium</em></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the DGC genes had no growth disadvantages in the small intestines of mice (325, 326), a mutant lacking three GGDEF genes was defective in survival in watery stool and pond water. Therefore, c-di-GMP is involved in the preparation of *V. cholerae* to exit the host, which is important for the spread of the pathogen to new hosts (325).

The natural hosts of the human pathogen *L. pneumophila* are amoebae, e.g., *Acanthamoeba castellanii*. During its intracellular life cycle, *L. pneumophila* alternates between an intracellular replicative form and an infectious nonreplicative form, which promotes transmission to a new host. Transition from the replicative to the transmissive phase requires coordination of functions critical for motility, contact-dependent cytotoxicity, host cell entry, survival, and other virulence traits. Strikingly, 18 of 24 potential c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes are upregulated in the transmissive phase, suggesting that a complex interplay of the c-di-GMP-regulated processes is involved in *L. pneumophila* transmission (338, 337).

**Cyclic di-GMP and RNA**

**Cyclic di-GMP-dependent RNA degradation.** Cyclic di-GMP affects RNA turnover via several different mechanisms. One of the most intriguing and least understood mechanisms was discovered by Tuckerman et al., who found that the DosC-DosP DGC-PDE complex from *E. coli* (40) was copurified with a large ribonucleoprotein complex (RNC) that contained components of the RNA degradosome (90). Tailing with homopolymeric 3’ poly(A) tails to destabilize mRNAs, a catalytic activity performed by the RNA degradosome component polynucleotide phosphorylase (PNPase), was found to be oxygen dependent, in agreement with the oxygen-dependent activities of DosC and DosP. Subsequent analysis revealed that both tailing and phosphoryl exchange, another reaction catalyzed by PNPase, are positively regulated by c-di-GMP, and that PNPase binds c-diGMP with a low-micromolar affinity. Cyclic di-GMP is believed to accumulate locally under microaerobic/anaerobic conditions, where the DosC DGC activity is high and the DosP PDE activity is low (89). This results in PNPase activation, while highly aerobic conditions inhibit PNPase (90). Cyclic di-GMP joins a growing group of small molecules that allosterically regulate PNPase activity and RNA turnover (358, 359).

While homopolymeric 3’ poly(A) tails are known to destabilize *E. coli* mRNAs, heteropolymeric 3’ poly(AG) tails are thought to render transcripts more stable (360). Furthermore, small RNAs are stabilized in the presence of PNPase, via an unknown mechanism (361). Therefore, it appears that c-di-GMP may differentially regulate RNA turnover. What are the RNA targets of c-di-GMP-regulated PNPase activity? Although no direct evidence exists, phenotypes of dosCdosP mutants and overexpression strains suggest that mRNAs whose products are involved in biofilm formation, e.g., curli subunits and the PAG biosynthesis enzymes, as well as mRNAs related to cell division, are stabilized in the presence of PNPase, via an unknown mechanism (360). The other reaction catalyzed by PNPase, are positively regulated by c-di-GMP, and that PNPase binds c-di-GMP with a low-micromolar affinity. Cyclic di-GMP is believed to accumulate locally under microaerobic/anaerobic conditions, where the DosC DGC activity is high and the DosP PDE activity is low (89). This results in PNPase activation, while highly aerobic conditions inhibit PNPase (90).

**Cyclic di-GMP-dependent riboswitches.** Two classes of distinct short RNA molecules, c-di-GMP-I and c-di-GMP-II, have been shown to represent c-di-GMP-binding aptamers (riboswitches), which help to regulate gene expression (59, 66). Depending on the genetic context, positive regulation (“on” switch) or negative regulation (“off” switch) upon c-di-GMP binding can occur.

Cyclic di-GMP riboswitches are widespread in bacteria. At least 322 c-di-GMP type I candidate riboswitches have been identified in various species, including many representatives of the *Proteobacteria* and *Firmicutes*. The current record holder, the deltaproteobacterium *Geobacter uranireducens* RF4, encodes as many as 30 type I c-di-GMP riboswitches (66). Type II c-di-GMP riboswitches have a more restricted distribution, mostly within the *Chloroflexi* and *Clostridia*, with *Clestridium difficile* 630 encoding 12 c-di-GMP-II riboswitches. The genes affiliated with those riboswitches appear to be involved in biofilm-related functions, such as c-di-GMP synthesis and degradation, motility, pili, and transcription regulation, but also in nonribosomal peptide synthesis. Many genes have unknown functions (59, 362). Some riboswitches in *G. uranireducens* suggest a c-di-GMP involvement in regulation of metal reduction. Indeed, many novel c-di-GMP-controlled processes will likely be discovered upon exploration of the c-di-GMP riboswitches.

Several c-di-GMP riboswitches have been tested experimentally. The *C. difficile* Cd1 riboswitch preceding the flagellum operon works as an “off” switch which, in the presence of c-di-GMP, causes transcriptional termination *in vitro* and decreases gene expression in a heterologous host, *B. subtilis*. On the other hand, the Vc2 riboswitch from *V. cholerae* is an “on” switch. Vc2 precedes the VC1722 gene, encoding a homolog of the transcription factor TfoX, which is required for the natural competence of *V. cholerae* associated with biofilms on chitin surfaces. VC1722 mRNA levels are upregulated at elevated c-di-GMP levels. Interestingly, a dual-layer control of gene expression is provided by the tandem arrangement of a c-di-GMP-II riboswitch with a self-splicing ribozyme upstream of CD3246, encoding a putative surface protein in *C. difficile* (66, 363). The riboswitch allosterically controls alternative self-splicing of the ribozyme whereby, in the presence of c-di-GMP, a product with an accessible ribosome binding site is favored. Upon c-di-GMP dissociation from the aptamer, however, the ribosome binding site is occluded, thus preventing translation. The riboswitch-ribozyme tandem may constitute a two-signal RNA-based input control system where the second signal, GTP, must be present in sufficient amounts to promote ribozyme self-splicing.

**Cyclic di-GMP AS PART OF A GENERAL SIGNALING MACHINERY**

**Coping with a “Regulatory Nightmare”: Specificity of c-di-GMP Signaling Pathways**

The presence of numerous DGCs and PDEs as well as c-di-GMP receptors in many bacteria raises questions about the mechanisms that establish specificity of the c-di-GMP signaling pathways, i.e., the selective regulation of c-di-GMP target outputs by individual c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins. Maintenance of the intracellular pool of c-di-GMP, to which dozens of DGCs and PDEs are potentially contributing, must be a “regulatory nightmare.” How do cells cope with numerous c-di-GMP signaling systems? Is there indeed specificity in c-di-GMP signaling? The more we learn about c-di-GMP-dependent signaling pathways, the better we realize that there is a hierarchical logic governing c-di-GMP regulatory systems, that individual components are controlled by specific environmental and intracellular stimuli, and that they often
serve specific targets. However, we also see that interfering with just a single piece of this integrative network may be sufficient to create a bacterial cell with a radically altered physiology.

**Regulation of expression of c-di-GMP-related genes.** An important mechanism that helps to shape the c-di-GMP signaling network for a particular lifestyle or growth phase is differential transcriptional regulation of c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins. The powerful control of c-di-GMP signaling by the general stress sigma factor RpoS in *E. coli* is a good example. Quantitative analysis of the expression patterns of all 28 GGDEF/EAL domain genes in *E. coli* W3110 revealed that the majority of them (21 genes) are expressed under laboratory conditions (298). Nevertheless, distinct expression patterns of GGDEF/EAL domain genes were observed in response to growth phase, solid versus liquid medium, and temperature. In particular, the stress sigma factor RpoS positively or negatively regulated 15 of the 21 genes upon entry into the stationary phase. Regulation of the GGDEF/EAL domain-encoding genes by RpoS has also been observed in other bacteria (325, 364) and seems to represent a common trait. While six of the RpoS-controlled genes regulate CsgD and curli gene expression, downstream targets of most of the other GGDEF/EAL domain-encoding genes remain to be determined.

The regulation of c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins through the global regulator RpoS also implies that factors affecting RpoS may also alter c-di-GMP signaling. For example, MqsA, the antitoxin component of the MqsR-MqsA toxin-antitoxin system, downregulates RpoS by directly binding to the RpoS promoter. Therefore, MqsA affects c-di-GMP levels, biofilm formation, stress resistance, and motility (365). MqsA probably affects biofilm formation through a negative-feed-forward loop, as an MqsA binding site is also found in the csgD promoter region. Toxin-antitoxin systems such as MqsA-MqsR are involved in formation of persister cells, which survive antibiotic challenges much better than regular cells do (366). Thus, biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance may be closely regulated through toxin-antitoxin systems and c-di-GMP (367).

While lifestyle issues such as the transition to stationary phase discussed above are important in shaping c-di-GMP network architectures, environmental and intracellular signals affecting individual c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes are also very important. As discussed above (see “Regulation by Sensory Domains”), many enzymes involved in c-di-GMP signaling contain sensory domains and respond to specific signals. Some enzymes respond to environmental signals by interacting with sensor proteins (136, 368). At present, a relatively limited number of signals affecting activities of the c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins have been investigated experimentally.

**Colocalization of DGCs and their targets.** Specific responses of c-di-GMP targets to individual DGCs and/or PDEs are another important aspect contributing to the specificity of c-di-GMP signaling (Fig. 11). Numerous examples of signaling specificity have been reported for diverse bacteria. One of the most striking recent examples involves a miniature bacterial parasite in which knockouts in each individual DGC resulted in distinct, nonoverlapping phenotypes (153) (see “Axenic- to predatory-lifestyle transition in *B. bacteriovorus*”). Another striking example comes from *P. aeruginosa*, where overexpression of the DGC PA2870 led to a 100% increase in c-di-GMP levels yet biofilm formation was not enhanced (204). In contrast, overexpression of SadC (PA4332) resulted in biofilm formation, although no change in the total c-di-GMP concentration was observed (204). Similarly, while overexpression of the DGC YcdT in *E. coli* led to higher c-di-GMP levels than those with YdeH overexpression, flagellum and pilus biosynthesis was abolished only upon YdeH overexpression. In contrast, YcdT overexpression led to slightly more pilus expression, while the number of flagella remained unchanged (60, 266). These observations were recently rationalized as no correlation was found between intracellular c-di-GMP concentrations created by seven different DGCs and the biofilm and gene expression phenotype of *V. cholerae*, whereas such a correlation was seen for individual DGCs expressed at different levels (368a). Colocalization of an oxygen-sensing DGC and PDE, DosC and DosP, and their target, PNPase from *E. coli* (90), was discussed earlier in this review (see “c-di-GMP-dependent RNA degradation”).

On the other hand, global pools of c-di-GMP may be sensed by cytoplasmic c-di-GMP receptors such as transcription factors. For example, in *V. cholerae*, five DGCs cumulatively contribute to the activity of the c-di-GMP-dependent transcription factor VpsT (307).

Strains in which all DGCs are deleted represent useful examples in considering the specificity of c-di-GMP signaling. In an *S. enterica* strain where all 12 GGDEF domain-encoding genes were deleted, only three of eight potential DGCs, among them STM1987, were found to stimulate cellular biosynthesis (259). In contrast, in the wild type, deletion of only one DGC, STM1987, affected cellulose production in the same minimal medium (259). It is still unknown whether STM1987 somehow prevents other DGCs from stimulating cellulose production, or, perhaps more likely, altered c-di-GMP degradation patterns in the all-GGDEF-protein deletion strain may have stimulated cellulose production by DGCs other than STM1987 (259).

Another interesting and yet controversial finding emerged from the study that used the 12-GGDEF-protein deletion strain, in which virulence, CsgD-mediated rRNA morphotype expression, and long-term survival were partially restored by the GGDEF domain protein STM4551 lacking DGC activity (324). Since STM4551 is not present in the nonpathogenic *Salmonella* relative *E. coli* K-12, it is possible that this *Salmonella*-specific gene has a specific, c-di-GMP-independent role(s) in regulating certain aspects of *Salmonella* virulence.

**Impact of phosphodiesterases.** In considering the specificity of c-di-GMP signaling cascades, it is important to consider the role of PDEs (Fig. 14). These enzymes are expected to guard their targets from spillovers of undesired c-di-GMP. Therefore, a mutation in a PDE may drastically change the c-di-GMP landscape of a cell by exposing c-di-GMP receptors/targets to regulation by both specific and nonspecific DGCs. In *S. enterica*, deletion of the PDE STM1703 led to a 10-fold higher expression level of the major biofilm regulator CsgD (297) and to constitutive CsgD expression in all cells of the biofilm, in contrast to biphasic CsgD expression in less than half of the wild-type cells (369). Also, a deletion of the PDE PvrR (PA14_59790) totally abolished the virulence of *P. aeruginosa* strain PA14 in a murine model of burn wound infection (204).

**Binding affinity of c-di-GMP receptors.** The dissociation constant of receptors for c-di-GMP can vary over 1,000-fold. Specificity of c-di-GMP signaling might therefore also be regulated simply through the binding affinity of the c-di-GMP receptors. That such a mechanism is indeed acting in cells has been recently demonstrated in *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium (369a). Dele-
tion of the PDE YhjH (STM3611) led to a c-di-GMP concentration sufficient to inhibit motility through the c-di-GMP receptor YcgR but not to stimulate the cellulose synthase BcsA, which harbors a PilZ domain with a 40-fold-lower affinity for c-di-GMP.

Connection to Other Signaling Systems

The regulation of many DGCs and PDEs by two-component signal transduction (Table 3) and the RpoS-dependent control of expression of numerous c-di-GMP signaling enzymes in E. coli are just two examples showing interconnectivity between regulatory systems. Details revealing relationships between various other regulatory systems are emerging. Some of them are discussed below.

Regulation by CsrA.

The carbon storage regulator protein CsrA of E. coli is the central component of a global regulatory circuit that poises metabolism for rapid growth and inhibits processes associated with the stationary phase. CsrA controls carbon metabolism and inversely regulates cell motility and biofilm formation as a major regulator of the motility-to-sessility transition. CsrA is a small homodimeric RNA-binding protein that exerts its effect by either repressing or activating expression of target mRNAs post-transcriptionally. In E. coli and S. enterica, CsrA plays an important role in the regulation of c-di-GMP metabolism by regulating mRNA transcripts of c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins (60, 61). In E. coli, the mRNA transcripts of two DGCs, YcdT and YdeH, are strongly repressed by CsrA. YdeH is required for PAG-mediated biofilm formation, while both YcdT and YdeH repress motility (60, 266). Tight regulation of the motility-to-sessility transition is ensured, as CsrA exerts multilayer effects on motility and biofilm formation. CsrA directly stabilizes the transcript of the master regulator FlhD4C2 (370) and destabilizes the pgaA transcript (371). CsrA also exerts repressive effects on additional biofilm components, such as SdiA, the quorum-sensing receptor for N-acyl-L-homoserine lactone (372).

In S. enterica, CsrA regulates the expression of at least 8 of 20 c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins (61). Multilayer control of motility is exerted, as CsrA not only activates FlhD4C2 expression but also represses the degenerate EAL domain protein YdiV (STM1344), which functions as an antiactivator of FlhD4C2, and hence as a motility inhibitor (226, 227, 373). In addition, CsrA directly regulates the flagellar class III gene yhjH, encoding a PDE that reciprocally controls motility and CsgD-mediated biofilm formation. In addition, CsrA inversely regulates c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins required for infection processes. Several CsrA-suppressed c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins inhibit invasion, while the CsrA-activated PDE YhjH stimulates invasion of host epithelial cells. This finding is in line with the suggestion that CsrA controls the switch between different physiological states in the infection process (374, 375). CsrA regulation of c-di-GMP metabolism seems to be conserved in unrelated species, as a CsrA homolog, RsmA (regulator of secondary metabolism), in X. campesstris also inhibits biofilm formation by directly binding to GGDEF protein transcripts (375a).

In P. aeruginosa, RsmA seems to have a similar regulatory role to that in S. enterica, as it inversely regulates components involved in chronic versus acute infection processes (376–378). Whether c-di-GMP metabolism is also a target for RsmA regulation remains to be tested, but the inverse regulation of expression of the RsmA targets (type III and type VI secretion systems) by c-di-GMP signaling (340) points in this direction.

Cyclic di-GMP and quorum sensing.

Quorum sensing, the cell–cell communication between bacteria, is a process that allows bacteria to coordinate population-wide activities such as biofilm formation, swarming, and virulence, by secreting into the medium and detecting small diffusible molecules (autoinducers). Many languages of bacterial communication exist, as signals of diverse structural classes are produced by various bacteria. Extracellular signaling pathways coordinate quorum sensing and c-di-GMP signaling pathways to control bacterial behavior (379, 380).

Vibrio cholerae biotype El Tor, the cause of the current cholera pandemic, is an example where quorum sensing and c-di-GMP signaling regulate biofilm formation in opposite ways. At a high cell density, high levels of autoinducer collectively trigger a signaling cascade that results in the expression of HapR (VC395_0600), the major regulator of quorum sensing. HapR regulates, directly and indirectly, the expression of at least 14 of 52 GGDEF/EAL domain proteins and 4 HD-GYP domain proteins, which leads to an overall reduction of the intracellular c-di-GMP concentration.
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of virulence occurs downstream of AphA or via a parallel pathway. A quorum system regulating c-di-GMP signaling and surface phe-
notypes in V. parahaemolyticus was described above (see “c-di-
GMP-dependent control of motility-to-sessility transition on sur-
faces”).

The diffusible signaling factor (DSF), a cis-unsaturated fatty acid, is required for virulence in the plant pathogen X. campestris, the causal agent of black rot of crucifers. DSF synthesis is completely dependent on RpfE. The response to DSF requires the sig-
nal to be sensed by the histidine kinase RpfC and the response regulator RpfG. Upon perception by RpfC, the REC domain of RpfG is believed to be phosphorylated, which increases the activity of an HD-GYP output domain that functions as a PDE. RpfG has broad activity, as it not only affects the secretion of virulence fac-
tors and the production of exopolysaccharide required for viru-
ence but also stimulates type IV pilus-mediated motility and re-
presses biofilm formation (Fig. 9). This suggests that RpfG is a highly active PDE and/or that it affects processes with broad ef-
effects on cell physiology or affects localization of several DGCs (98), as shown for RpoG from X. axonopodis. Reductions of c-di-
GMP concentrations by RpfG are thought to regulate virulence through the activation of the transcriptional regulator Clp, which is repressed upon c-di-GMP binding. Clp subsequently regulates a plethora of genes associated with virulence function (341).

In parallel with the RpfC-RpfG system, the RavS-RavR two-
component system cumulatively affects virulence through Clp (382), RavR (Xcc1958), a response regulator with a GGDEF-EAL-
REC domain architecture that has PDE activity, is believed to re-

do low oxygen concentrations. RavR affects Clp expression, and presumably its activity, through removal of c-di-GMP from the Clp binding site. Thus, concerted degradation of c-di-GMP by two different PDEs may lead to full activation of Clp as a transcrip-
tion activator of virulence.

Recently, the first example of a cytosolic c-di-GMP-metaboliz-
ging protein that is directly activated by a quorum-sensing signal was uncovered. In Burkholderia cenocepacia, the PAS domain of the RpfR hybrid GGDEF-EAL domain protein senses the fatty acid signaling molecule BDSF (184a). BDSF is a quorum-sensing sig-
naling molecule required for virulence, swarming motility, and biofilm formation structurally similar to the diffusible signaling factor DSF signal which activates twitching motility and virulence in X. campestris (see above). BDSF binding to the PAS domain activates the phosphodiesterase activity of the EAL domain of RpfR.

Note that the quorum (i.e., autoinducer concentration) is sensed and interpreted by bacterial c-di-GMP signaling systems as one of many primary inputs, so the interactions between quorum sensing and c-di-GMP signaling are mainly unidirectional, i.e., the autoinducer concentration (primary signal) regulates synthesis and/or hydrolysis of the c-di-GMP (second messenger). It is interesting to look at how various second messengers “talk” to each other.

Cyclic di-GMP and other second messengers. Surprisingly lit-
tle is known about whether and how the common nucleotide sec-
ond messengers cAMP and (p)ppGpp interact with c-di-GMP sig-

ing (383). Cyclic AMP and (p)ppGpp control various processes in bacteria, from responses to nutrient starvation to regulation of biofilm formation to virulence (132, 384), and have been studied for decades (185, 385). Cyclic AMP signaling in proteobacteria occurs via the cAMP-binding transcription activator CRP. In V. cholerae, cAMP-CRP has been found to regulate the expression of several genes involved in c-di-GMP turnover (386). Cyclic AMP-
made repression of biofilm formation is mediated mainly via cAMP-CRP inhibition of transcription of the DGC gene cdpA. In E. coli, c-di-GMP and ppGpp appear to regulate PAG-dependent biosynthesis proteins.

Open Questions in c-di-GMP Signaling
Is there yet another signaling molecule? Hydrolysis of c-di-GMP proceeds through pGpG, which may potentially function as a sig-
nal in mammalian cell nuclei (5). It was established early on that in G. xylinus, pGpG is hydrolyzed into two GMP molecules by a separate PDE, termed PDE-B, not by the c-di-GMP-specific PDE (1, 25). pGpG belongs to the class of “nanoRNA” molecules, which are 2 to 5 nucleotides long. NanoRNAs are small RNA molecules that are found in vivo and can function as small regulatory RNAs. They are regulated by DNA-binding proteins and can inhibit gene expression, RNA polymerase activity, and RNA synthesis.
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tion activator of virulence.

Recently, the first example of a cytosolic c-di-GMP-metaboliz-
ging protein that is directly activated by a quorum-sensing signal was uncovered. In Burkholderia cenocepacia, the PAS domain of the RpfR hybrid GGDEF-EAL domain protein senses the fatty acid signaling molecule BDSF (184a). BDSF is a quorum-sensing sig-
naling molecule required for virulence, swarming motility, and biofilm formation structurally similar to the diffusible signaling factor DSF signal which activates twitching motility and virulence in X. campestris (see above). BDSF binding to the PAS domain activates the phosphodiesterase activity of the EAL domain of RpfR.
Genomes/c-di-GMP.html). As DGCs and PDEs interact with each other and with target proteins (89, 98, 192), these two non-homologous c-di-GMP hydrolases and their catalytically inactive derivatives could provide distinct protein interaction platforms to regulate a variety of c-di-GMP-dependent processes.

Variable impacts of various c-di-GMP concentrations. Another puzzling observation concerns the lack of correlation of c-di-GMP concentrations with the phenotypic output. Although there is no doubt that high c-di-GMP concentrations promote sessility, while low c-di-GMP concentrations promote motility, there is no absolute correlation between c-di-GMP concentrations and the degree of phenotype output (230, 233, 234, 297). A local versus global effect of c-di-GMP signaling is a possible explanation, where in one instance the DGC is located in close vicinity to the effector and in another the spatial distance requires a more globally acting DGC and higher c-di-GMP levels to obtain the desired effect (Fig. 14). Another aspect of this problem is that the change in c-di-GMP concentration does not necessarily correlate with the breadth of the physiological response. Recent studies provided convincing evidence that local and global c-di-GMP signaling exists. A very tight DGC-effector constellation coupled to a potentially physiologically very broad target output was found with the DGC DosC in complex with the RNA degradosome (90). In such an arrangement, a broad physiological response can be achieved with a minimal absolute change in the c-di-GMP concentration. On the other hand, c-di-GMP is cumulatively fed into the c-di-GMP receptor VpsT, a transcriptional activator of biofilm formation in V. cholerae (see above), by five DGCs (307). At least two of these DGCs, the membrane-bounded CdgA (VCA0074) and CdgH (VC1067) proteins, do not interact with VpsT, which is dispersed in the cytoplasm, but promote c-di-GMP-dependent localization and target promoter activation.

Deletions of individual c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins can have a clear-cut, “all-or-nothing” phenotype indicating the dependence of a phenotype on one specific c-di-GMP source or sink (Fig. 11) (30). However, more frequently, the relative regulation of a phenotype is observed with additive effects from different c-di-GMP sources or sinks. In addition, a phenotype for a given c-di-GMP protein also depends on the appropriate c-di-GMP concentration. On the other hand, c-di-GMP is effectively removed by a nearby PDE, the c-di-GMP-inhibited phenotype cannot reveal the corresponding DGC or the c-di-GMP-binding proteins unless the c-di-GMP sink is deleted.

Atypical behavior of c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins. While the basic principles of c-di-GMP signaling are known, several experimental observations do not fit the general scheme. These and other observations which are inconsistent with the established paradigm will remain puzzling for as long as we do not understand the underlying molecular mechanisms.

One example of the unorthodox behavior of c-di-GMP was recorded for P. aeruginosa, where the PDE Arr (PA2818) appeared to induce biofilm formation instead of inhibiting it (233). Biofilm formation was reported to be induced by the aminoglycoside antibiotic tobramycin, amikacin, streptomycin, and gentamicin, and the effect of tobramycin was abolished by mutation of the glutamate residue in the EAL motif of Arr (233).

Other examples of “odd” behavior have been uncovered in X. campestris, where c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes were found to work in the opposite direction from the expectation. It has been established on the molecular level that c-di-GMP inhibits endoglucanase secretion, because the transcription factor Clp in complex with c-di-GMP cannot activate the engXCA operon (222) (Fig. 13). However, secretion of endoglucanase was found to be downregulated by the deletion of PDEs as well as, strangely, potential DGCs (205). Curiously, endoglucanase secretion was also found to be downregulated by deletion of the PilZ-containing YcgR homolog XC_2317 (294). Another counterintuitive observation is that deletion of two GGDEF domain proteins that interact with the RpfG HD-GYP PDE, as well as RpfG, abolished instead of activated type IV pilus motility in X. campestris (192).

**CYCLIC di-GMP-INDEPENDENT LIFE OF GGDEF, EAL, AND PILZ DOMAIN PROTEINS**

A significant fraction of GGDEF, EAL, and HD-GYP domains lack not only catalytic activity but also the ability to bind their respective substrate, GTP or c-di-GMP (see “I sites and enzymatically inactive EAL and HD-GYP domains as c-di-GMP receptors”). Interestingly, many of these degenerate domain proteins have functions normally associated with c-di-GMP signaling. Some of these examples are presented below.

GdpS of S. aureus and S. epidermidis is the only GGDEF domain protein of staphylococci with a conserved GGEEF motif, but biochemical analysis showed that GdpS does not synthesize c-di-GMP (272). Thus, staphylococci lack the c-di-GMP signaling pathway. Nevertheless, GdpS has a significant effect on biofilm formation in S. epidermidis. In a gdpS mutant, the transcript levels of the icaADBC operon, required for synthesis of the PAG polysaccharide, virulence factors, and the protein A regulator SrrS, were downregulated (272). Similarly, the enzymatically inactive GdpS protein of S. aureus proved necessary for secretion of virulence factors such as proteases, fibronectin-binding proteins, and protein A (272, 392). Restoration of mRNA downregulation in both biofilm formation and virulence factor production required the N-terminal 5TMR-LYT (PF07694) membrane domain of GdpS, but not its GGDEF domain. Interestingly, GdpP (YybT; SE0013), the second identifiable GGDEF domain protein, with highly degenerate characteristic signature motifs, is involved in the degradation of the secondary messenger c-di-AMP (393) (see below).

The EAL domain of the E. coli BLUF-EAL protein YcgE is degenerate and does not metabolize or bind c-di-GMP (63, 394). YcgF interacts with the Mer-like transcriptional regulator YcgE and relieves DNA binding by YcgE upon blue light exposure (394). This relief allows the expression of a group of genes encoding mainly small proteins of less than 100 amino acids, predominantly at the low temperature of 16°C. Upon overexpression, at least two of these proteins promote production of colanic acid and repress curli fimbria biosynthesis, suggesting that YcgF contributes to the switch in biofilm matrix expression in E. coli upon light exposure (123).

Another example of an enzyme “retired from active duty” is the stand-alone EAL domain protein YdiV (STM1344) of S. enterica and E. coli. Biochemical studies showed that YdiV neither hydrolyzes nor binds c-di-GMP (226). The structure of YdiV revealed replacements with alanines for several amino acid residues required for coordination of the divalent cations (394a). This puzzling observation was explained by studies that showed...
that expression of the YhjH PDE is inversely coupled to YdiV activity through the flagellar regulon. In vitro studies determined that YdiV binds to the FlhD component of the master regulator FlhD4C2 (394a). Structural studies revealed that four YdiV molecules subsequently bind to each of the FlhD subunits. Binding of more than two YdiV molecules opens up the ring-like structure of the FlhD4C2 complex formed on DNA and thereby prevents activation of class II flagellar genes. FlhD4C2 released from the promoter is targeted by YdiV for degradation to the ClpXP protease (227, 373).

The positive effect of YdiV on biofilm formation is indirect, as inhibition of the flagellar regulon blocks expression of the PDE YhjH, which is needed for downregulating the major biofilm regulator CsgD (226). CsgD expression is further activated by YdiV through downregulation of the second PDE, STM1703, through a still unknown pathway. YdiV strongly inhibits swimming, thus promoting the surface motility-to-sessility transition. It prevents flagellar expression upon nutrient limitation and contributes to bistable expression of flagellin in cell populations (226, 227, 395). In line with that, expression of YdiV is repressed by glucose and the carbon storage regulator protein CsrA (61, 396). YdiV downregulates flagellum expression upon S. enterica host infection to avoid the inflammatory host response and to establish successful colonization in the mouse model (395). Enhanced expression of immunostimulatory flagellin in the YdiV mutant triggered an enhanced inflammatory cytokine response and cytotoxicity toward macrophages (330, 395) and led to a disadvantage in deeper tissue colonization compared with an ΔfljC deletion mutant.

While YcgF and YdiV seem to act exclusively through protein-protein interactions, other EAL domain proteins have evolved to bind RNA. The small RNAs CsrB and CsrC counteract the activity of the RNA-binding protein CsrA in E. coli (397). To balance the concentration of CsrA with its antagonists, active CsrA indirectly activates CsrB and CsrC synthesis. An additional component of the Csr circuit is the GGDEF-EAL domain protein CsrD (398, 399), in which both the GGDEF and EAL domains are degenerate. Consequently, CsrD does not display activities related to c-di-GMP metabolism as determined by biochemical and genetic studies (399). However, CsrD affects the degradation of CsrB and CsrC, which also requires the essential endonuclease RNase E and the exonuclease PNPase (399). CsrD binds CsrB with high affinity; however, it does not contribute directly to its degradation. Thus, CsrD might function as a specificity factor or adaptor that selects CsrB and CsrC and targets them for decay.

Ironically, another example of a protein that no longer involves c-di-GMP is PilZ (PA2960), a type IV pilus assembly factor in P. aeruginosa (146) and the eponymous protein for a superfamily of c-di-GMP receptor domains (48). P. aeruginosa PilZ does not bind c-di-GMP because it lacks conserved residues essential for c-di-GMP binding (149). However, it interacts with the c-di-GMP-binding protein FimX and with PilB, an ATPase required for type IV pilus polymerization (159). Therefore, PilZ serves as an adaptor to transmit c-di-GMP-induced changes in FimX to polymerization of pilin subunits, i.e., type IV pilus biogenesis. In general, a loss of c-di-GMP binding capacity appears to be fairly common among PilZ domain proteins (159).

**PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF CYCLIC di-GMP**

The widespread distribution of c-di-GMP in pathogenic bacteria and its involvement in a variety of processes that contribute to virulence make c-di-GMP signaling pathways potential targets for antibacterial interventions, particularly because c-di-GMP is absent in mammals. A number of recent studies investigated the effects of c-di-GMP on bacterial and eukaryotic cells. Although c-di-GMP proved not to be bactericidal and could not be used to kill bacterial pathogens, adding c-di-GMP to some bacterial cell cultures stimulated dispersal of bacterial biofilms (47). Importantly, c-di-GMP has been shown to have an immunomodulating effect in mice and has been used as an immune enhancer, vaccine adjuvant, and anticancer agent (56–58, 400, 401). Most remarkably, it turned out that human and mouse cells are already familiar with c-di-GMP and use it in their immunosurveillance mechanisms. The presence of c-di-GMP in the cytoplasm of mammalian cells is recognized as a sign of bacterial invasion, which triggers an innate immune response (56, 57, 69, 334, 402).

**Use of c-di-GMP for Biofilm Dispersal**

Biofilm formation plays a key role in disease processes by making bacteria refractory to traditional antibiotics, which is why, by some estimates, up to 80% of human infections involve biofilms. This makes preventing biofilm formation and/or accelerating dispersal of existing biofilms a potential component of antimicrobial therapies. Can we use the current knowledge of c-di-GMP signaling to devise ways of fighting bacterial biofilms (403–405, 405a)?

Biofilm formation is also a problem in industrial settings, for example, in the form of biofouling. On the other hand, the engineering of strains with a controlled biofilm formation capacity has potential applications from disease treatment to controlled production of biofuel (405).

The requirement of c-di-GMP for biofilm formation means that decreasing intracellular levels of c-di-GMP can cause (or accelerate) biofilm dispersal. Indeed, inductible expression of the E. coli PDE YhjH in Shewanella oneidensis resulted in detachment of the cells from the biofilm matrix upon induction, apparently by lowering the c-di-GMP level (239). For P. putida, the mechanism of detachment initiated by the decrease in the c-di-GMP level is now understood. It occurs through the LapD-LapG-LapA mechanism (see “Adhesins as c-di-GMP targets”), whereby the LapA adhesin is cleaved from the cell surface (68, 406).

Overexpression of the E. coli YjgI protein, which lacks PDE activity and instead belongs to the short-chain dehydrogenase family related to 3-ketoacyl-ACP reductase FabG, increases biofilm dispersal in E. coli. YjgI, renamed BdcA (biofilm dispersal via c-di-GMP), binds c-di-GMP, albeit with a high K_d, 11 μM (176). An engineered E50Q mutant of this protein binds c-di-GMP with a much lower K_d, 3 μM (176). BdcA overexpression in P. aeruginosa and Rhizobium meliloti leads to biofilm dispersal in these organisms (407). Most likely, BdcA acts as a c-di-GMP sink, whose overexpression effectively decreases the intracellular c-di-GMP pool available to other receptors. These data show that decreasing cellular c-di-GMP levels could be an effective method of combating bacterial biofilms.

Controlling biofilm formation in native, nonengineered cultures may be achieved by manipulating external signals that inhibit DGCs and/or activate PDEs. An attractive signal for biofilm dispersal is nitric oxide (NO), which is naturally produced by many prokaryotes and eukaryotes. While it is bactericidal at high concentrations, NO at nanomolar levels functions as a signaling molecule, triggering biofilm dispersal in a wide range of microorganisms (408). In P. aeruginosa, the NO effect has been linked to...
the stimulation of a c-di-GMP-specific PDE activity, both direct, through increased expression of the PDEs DippA (PA5017) and RbdA (PA0861) (315, 409), and indirect, mediated through the unusual chemotaxis receptor protein PAI423 (termed Bdia for biofilm dispersion locus A), which consists of two tandem PAS domains and a C-terminal MCP domain (410). Reduction of biofilm formation by NO modulation of c-di-GMP levels has been observed in other bacteria as well. In L. pneumophila and She- wanella woodii, NO is sensed by an H-NOX domain protein which inhibits the DGC activity (411). Interestingly, NO controls hydrolysis of yet another cyclic dinucleotide, c-di-AMP, i.e., the c-di-AMP-specific PDE YybT of B. subtilis is activated by NO (412). Thus, the freely diffusible molecule NO is a promising antibiofilm compound that works through modulation of the c-di-GMP level and, possibly, c-di-AMP levels. Surprisingly, the up-regulation of biofilm formation at intermediate NO levels is also mediated via c-di-GMP signaling (189), indicating that NO levels need to be adjusted tightly to achieve a biofilm-inhibiting effect.

As an alternative to targeting c-di-GMP network components, which are structurally diverse, it has been suggested to target the signaling molecule itself (413). Intercalators have been identified which trigger c-di-GMP to form higher-order aggregates. Those complexes are predicted to be biologically inactive, as only monomers and dimers of c-di-GMP have been found in complexes with c-di-GMP receptors.

Finally, it is important that extracellular c-di-GMP could also be used for biofilm dispersal. In 2005, a study by Karolis and colleagues showed that treatment of S. aureus cells with 200 μM c-di-GMP had no effect on the growth rate but inhibited cell adhesion in liquid medium, inhibited adherence of S. aureus to human epithelial cells, and reduced biofilm formation ≥2-fold (47). These effects have been confirmed for a bovine mastitis isolate of S. aureus and a human methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) isolate, indicating their relevance to clinical settings. However, the high levels of c-di-GMP (at least 20 to 50 μM) required for these experiments make them impractical, at least until highly efficient methods of producing c-di-GMP have been developed (see below). Very recently, a high-throughput screen for DGC inhibitors has uncovered several small molecules that inhibit DGCs at sub-micromolar concentrations. These compounds, which proved active against diverse DGCs, hold the highest promise yet, as a means of preventing biofilm formation by bacterial pathogens (413a).

**Cyclic di-GMP as an Immunomodulator**

The first experiments on the potential effects of c-di-GMP on animal cells used human cancer cells and showed that addition of 50 μM c-di-GMP inhibited proliferation of several tumor cell lines: the human acute lymphoblastic leukemia cell line Molt 4 and the human T-cell lymphoblastoid CD4+ Jurkat cell line (23, 414). These observations were attributed to the binding of c-di-GMP to p21ras, a member of the Ras superfamily of GTPases (23). Several years later, c-di-GMP was shown to inhibit proliferation of human colon cancer cells and was suggested as a potential anticancer agent (401).

Subsequent studies in live mice confirmed that c-di-GMP had an immunostimulatory effect, affecting infection by S. aureus (including MRSA) and bacterial pneumonia caused by K. pneumon-iae or Streptococcus pneumoniae (56–58, 400, 415). Administration of c-di-GMP to mice induced secretion of a variety of cytokines and chemokines, including interferons and interleukins (Table 8), and altered the expression of several chemokine receptors (56, 58). c-di-GMP also stimulated other innate immune responses, such as the recruitment of innate immune cells such as neutrophils, monocytes, and granulocytes (56, 57). As a result, c-di-GMP improved the antibacterial resistance of treated mice and accelerated the clearance of bacteria from the spleen and liver (400). In addition, c-di-GMP has been shown to work as an adjuvant, increasing the immune response to such commonly used antigens as S. aureus clumping factor A (CIFA), mutant staphylococcal enterotoxin, pneumolysin toxoid, and pneumococcal surface protein A (PsPA) (56, 58). These data prompted proposals to use c-di-GMP clinically in humans and animals as an immunomodulator, immune enhancer, immunotherapeutic, immunoprophylactic, or vaccine adjuvant (55, 56, 58). Such a proposal was included in several patent applications by David Karolis, two of which were granted in 2009, as U.S. patents 7,569,555 and 7,592,326 (418). The ability of c-di-GMP to work as a mucosal adjuvant was recently used for intranasal and sublingual administration of live attenuated vaccines of influenza H5N1 virus (419, 420).

Since stimulation of the immune response of dendritic cells by c-di-GMP has been shown to be independent of Toll-like receptor- and nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain (Nod)-dependent pathways (56), the detailed mechanism of immunostimulation by c-di-GMP long remained unclear (334). Finally, a recent study identified the cytoplasmic domain of the transmem-

---

**TABLE 8 Immunostimulating properties of c-di-GMP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Response to 200 μM c-di-GMP</th>
<th>Other proteins</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human or mouse immature dendritic cells</td>
<td>Expression of gamma interferon (IFN-γ), IL-12, IL-1β, tumor necrosis factor (TNF), IFN-α, IL-8/ CXCL8, monokine induced by IFN-γ (MIG)/CXCL9, IFN-γ-inducible protein 10/CXCL10, IFN-inducible T cell α chemotra ectant/CXCL11, MCP-1/CCL2, MIP-1α/CCL3, MIP-1β/CCL4, and RANTES/CCL5</td>
<td>Expression of costimulatory molecules CD80/CD86, maturation marker CD83, major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II, chemokine receptors CCR1, CCR7, and CXCR4, p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK); downregulation of CCR1 and CCR5</td>
<td>56, 416, 417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse bronchoalveolar lavage cells</td>
<td>Expression of KC, MCP-1, MIP-1α, MIP-2, RANTES</td>
<td>Expression of IgA, IgG</td>
<td>416, 417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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brane protein STING as a direct sensor of intracellular c-di-GMP (69, 355). STING binds c-di-GMP with a $K_D$ of 5 μM and stimulates production of type I interferons by signaling through the TANK binding kinase 1 (TBK1) and the interferon regulatory transcription factor 3 (IRF3) (69). At least five groups have solved the crystal structure of STING (70, 72, 73, 177, 421) in complex with c-di-GMP (Fig. 5G). Subsequent studies uncovered yet another, slightly more sensitive, mammalian c-di-GMP receptor, the DEAD-box helicase DDX41 (421a). Although it can be debated whether c-di-GMP ever reaches micromolar concentration levels inside mammalian cells, additional candidate eukaryotic c-di-GMP receptors exist (421). It remains to be seen how c-di-GMP makes its way into the cytosol of macrophages, but overexpression of a DGC in the intracellular pathogen L. pneumophila was correlated with increased type I interferon expression (421). These results highlight an important role for sensing c-di-GMP in the immunosurveillance by mammalian cells.

Thus, it appears that c-di-GMP (and other cyclic dinucleotides [see below]) belongs to a select group of compounds that are being kept under constant immunosurveillance. This group includes microbe-specific essential macromolecules such as the lipid A component of lipopolysaccharide, cell wall components, and bacterial DNA, as well as flagellin, which is nonessential but encoded by many pathogenic bacteria. These compounds represent conserved molecular signatures of bacteria that are used by the innate immune system to recognize “nonself” (i.e., bacterial infection) and elicit immune responses on the cellular and humoral levels. It could be argued that our cells recognized the importance of c-di-GMP long before we did.

### Synthesis of c-di-GMP

Studies of the molecular mechanisms of c-di-GMP signaling and its potential use as an adjuvant or an anticancer agent require substantial amounts of this compound. For the first several years, c-di-GMP research relied largely on relatively small amounts of the substance synthesized enzymatically in the Benziman lab and kindly shared by Benziman’s colleague Haim Weinhouse. The growing interest in c-di-GMP studies worldwide encouraged researchers to look for new methods of obtaining this compound.

The first chemical synthesis of c-di-GMP and its analogs was performed by Benziman’s colleagues to prove that the artificially produced molecule was identical to the natural activator of cell-losse synthase (422). This synthesis, as well as most of the subsequent approaches to chemically synthesize cyclic dinucleotides, used a derivatized nucleoside as a starting material (423–425). An alternative approach first synthesized the cyclic backbone, with subsequent introduction of the guanine base (426). The general drawbacks of these early approaches to chemically synthesize c-di-GMP were the laborious synthesis and purification procedure and the low yield, typically resulting in only a few milligrams of the product.

Recent advances in c-di-GMP synthesis followed two separate tracks: one included improvements in organic synthesis, whereas the other relied on streamlining the enzymatic production of c-di-GMP. The improved chemical synthesis procedure takes advantage of solid-phase synthesis and employs a one-flask synthesis protocol that gets rid of intermediate washing and purification steps (427, 428). This protocol allows for economical production of gram-scale amounts of c-di-GMP and its derivatives starting from commercially available and relatively low-cost guanosine phosphoramidite.

Enzymatic synthesis of c-di-GMP was hampered by product inhibition via the I sites of DGCs, which led to relatively low yields. To solve this problem, the Jenal lab mutated the I site in the constitutively active PleD mutant (113). Later, the Liang lab engineered TM1788, a single-domain GGDEF domain protein from the thermophilic organism Thermotoga maritima, for solubility and high product yields by mutating the I site (429). The optimized reaction conditions enable synthesis of hundreds of milligrams of c-di-GMP in vitro. The drawback of using DGCs to produce c-di-GMP from GTP is that GTP is relatively expensive. A solution to this problem has been found by Spehr and colleagues, who added two enzymatic steps to produce GTP from cheaper substrates (430). In their protocol, GTP is produced from GMP and ATP by the subsequent action of GMP kinase (which converts GMP into GDP) and nucleoside diphosphate kinase (which produces GTP from GDP). GTP is subsequently converted into c-di-GMP by an engineered DGC with a mutated I site. In a large-scale batch reaction, the authors were able to obtain gram amounts of c-di-GMP, with an overall yield of up to 44% of added GMP (430).

### Quantification of c-di-GMP

Quantification of cellular c-di-GMP concentrations allows assessment of the activity of c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins in vivo. Separation of cell extracts by high-pressure liquid chromatography combined with quantification by mass spectrometry has been the gold standard for measuring c-di-GMP concentrations (17, 431, 432). Alternative detection and quantification methods for in vitro and in vivo applications are being developed, although the required sensitivity and/or applicability for high-throughput analysis has not yet been reached. One line of development uses the unique property of c-di-GMP to form stable complexes with some planar intercalators (433, 434). In the presence of proflavine and hemin, c-di-GMP forms a supramolecular complex with peroxidase activity. Since the number of nucleotide-enzyme complexes correlates with substrate turnover, c-di-GMP is conveniently quantified with a substrate which changes the absorbance spectrum upon oxidation (435). Furthermore, significant changes in the absorption and fluorescence spectra upon interaction with c-di-GMP can be used for detection and quantification (436). Thiiazole orange, a known fluorescent intercalator of nucleic acids, can specifically detect c-di-GMP in a concentration-dependent manner. Other quantification approaches use the high affinity of RNA aptamers for c-di-GMP to develop c-di-GMP-sensitive ribozymes (437). c-di-GMP concentrations down to 10 nM can be detected. On the other hand, the conformational change upon c-di-GMP binding to its receptor molecules has been used to design fluorescent biosensors for in vitro and in vivo use (219, 438).

Also, transcription-based methods of monitoring c-di-GMP levels in vivo have been developed (413a, 438a). These latter methods may facilitate evaluation of c-di-GMP levels in bacteria under physiological conditions (438b). Although the sensitivity of the system remains a major drawback of current detection methods, these approaches have the potential to be developed into highly sensitive and versatile methods for detection of c-di-GMP. Another potential approach would be to develop a specific antibody to detect c-di-GMP. In this way, c-di-GMP could be detected conveniently by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), as is
the case for the cyclic mononucleotide second messengers cAMP and cGMP.

THE NOVEL CYCLIC DINUCLEOTIDE SECOND MESSENGERS CYCLIC di-AMP and CYCLIC AMP-GMP

Cyclic di-AMP. In an unexpected development, the crystal structure of the DNA integrity scanning protein DisA, which responds to DNA double-strand breaks in Bacillus subtilis, was found to be associated with cyclic di-adenosine nucleotide (c-di-AMP) (439). Since c-di-AMP had never been observed in living cells previously and had only been synthesized chemically (440), this finding suggested secondary messenger signaling in bacteria by yet another cyclic dinucleotide (441). A diadenylate cyclase activity of the N-terminal DAC domain of DisA was verified by biochemical studies (439). Subsequently, a bioinformatic search for proteins that co-occur with DAC domain proteins identified members of the DHH domain family, which have documented phosphatase or phosphoesterase activity (442), as candidates for c-di-AMP-specific PDE activity. Physiologically relevant c-di-AMP hydrolysis was demonstrated for B. subtilis YybT (alternatively called GdpT), a multidomain protein that contains DHH, DHHAI, and a degenerate GGDEF domain (393).

In the past 2 years, c-di-AMP has been fully established as a second messenger in several Gram-positive bacteria, including S. aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes, Listeria monocytogenes, and M. tuberculosis (119, 443–447). In B. subtilis, elevated intracellular c-di-AMP levels serve as a signal for entry into sporulation. The checkpoint protein DisA scans the chromosome for lesions prior to sporulation (448) and synthesizes c-di-AMP, which apparently signals that DNA is undamaged and allows the progression to sporulation (439, 446). Upon DNA damage, however, DisA stalls and its diadenylate cyclase activity is inhibited. The drop in c-di-AMP level is probably further steepened as the c-di-AMP PDE YybT is concomitantly upregulated (446).

The diadenylate cyclase domain (DAC, or DisA_N; entry PF02457 in the Pfam database [116]) is found in most bacterial phyla (albeit not in Alpha-, Beta-, or Gammaproteobacteria) and in the Euryarchaeota (but not in Cren- or Thaumarchaeota). This suggests that like c-di-GMP, c-di-AMP is part of an ancient signaling system that has additional functions beyond monitoring DNA integrity. In S. aureus, c-di-AMP has a role in resistance to extreme cell wall stress (443). A mutant in gdpP (yybT), encoding the c-di-AMP PDE, suppressed the lethal effect caused by the absence of lipoteichoic acid in the cell wall, enhanced the cross-linking of the peptidoglycan, and altered the expression of autolysin. Furthermore, elevated c-di-AMP levels were associated with increased resistance to some cell wall-active antimicrobials and with reduced cell size. Decreased resistance to β-lactam antibiotics upon decreased c-di-AMP levels was also observed in B. subtilis (445), where deletion of YybP, one of three diadenylate cyclases, had the most pronounced effect. Screening studies of various Gram-positive bacteria provided further evidence for a more general role of c-di-AMP in resistance to various stresses, such as acid and oxidative stress (393, 449, 450). The next challenge is to identify c-di-AMP receptors, and there has also already been some progress. DarR, a TetR family transcriptional regulator in M. smegmatis, has been identified as the first bacterial c-di-AMP receptor (450a). Three unidentified DarR-regulated genes indicate that c-di-AMP has a role in cold shock and fatty acid synthesis and transport.

Like c-di-GMP, c-di-AMP is detected by mammalian immune systems. Cyclic di-AMP secreted into the cytosol of host cells by multiefflux pumps of the intracellular pathogen L. monocytogenes activates a cytosolic surveillance pathway in host immune cells such as macrophages (447). This potential role of c-di-AMP in virulence and stress resistance, in combination with the fact that genes coding for diadenylate cyclases are essential in intracellular pathogens (451–454), makes c-di-AMP-signaling pathways an attractive target for antimicrobial therapy.

Cyclic AMP-GMP. Yet another cyclic dinucleotide, the c-AMP-GMP hybrid, was recently discovered in V. cholerae (455). Cyclic AMP-GMP is synthesized by the novel dinucleotide cyclase DncV (VC0179), a member of the nucleotidyltransferase superfamily that is distantly related to 2′,5′-oligoadenylate synthetases (OAS1) and poly(A) polymerase (455) but distinct from either c-di-GMP-synthesizing GGDEF or c-di-AMP-synthesizing DAC domains. The key active site residues [G(G/S)X9-13DX(D/E)] of the nucleotidyltransferase superfamily are conserved in DncV and required for its catalytic activity.

DncV homologs are present in a subset of proteobacteria, including such enterobacterial species as diarrheagenic E. coli strain DEC8D. In V. cholerae El Tor, the dncV gene is located on the Vibrio 7th pandemic island 1 (Vsp-1), which is thought to contribute to the success of this biotype in causing pandemics. Indeed, deletion of dncV affects intestinal colonization of V. cholerae in an infant mouse model. As intestinal colonization requires down-regulation of chemotaxis and DncV represses chemotaxis, the colonization defect of the dncV mutant may be caused by deregulated chemotaxis.

Cyclic AMP-GMP and c-di-GMP signaling pathways are distinct and most likely are inversely coupled during infection, as low c-di-GMP enhances transcription of the master virulence regulator ToxT, which indirectly activates dncV expression (455). Remarkably, c-AMP-GMP has recently been found to be a signaling molecule in higher eukaryotes (455a).

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND PERSPECTIVES

In the first 10 years of its 25-year history, c-di-GMP remained on the sidelines of microbiological research. It was mostly perceived as an idiosyncratic regulator of a rare process in an unusual bacterium and had been studied only by the Benziman group and their collaborators (1, 18, 25, 33, 35, 80, 145, 414; see also references 19 and 20 and Table 2). Compared to the much better known cyclic nucleotide second messenger cAMP, c-di-GMP was about as popular as Kombucha tea compared to black or green tea. This all changed at the turn of this century, with the identification of the c-di-GMP-metabolizing GGDEF and EAL domains (25) and the discovery of multiple copies of these domains in the genomes of E. coli and a variety of other bacteria (27, 34, 107). It became clear that c-di-GMP was part of a widespread signal transduction system, even though the scale and importance of that system proved far greater than anybody could have imagined at that time.

The conversion of c-di-GMP from an ugly duckling into a white swan was a consequence of several other important developments, some of which are highlighted in Table 2. As we have noted previously (5, 20), these extraordinary developments bring several lessons that might be of general significance for (micro)biologists. (i) Although the goal of Benziman’s work was applied, i.e., creating an effective system to produce bacterial cellulose by using a purified enzyme, his studies led to a fundamental discov-
ery that dramatically improved our understanding of bacterial signal transduction. (ii) Cellulose biosynthesis, a supposedly arcane process carried out by an unusual bacterium, turned out to be widespread in the bacterial world, occurring even in the most-studied bacteria, such as *E. coli* and *S. enterica*. This “arcane” process has helped us to understand bacterial multicellular behavior. (iii) A study of a benign and harmless bacterium (which can even be consumed in the form of Kombucha tea and nata de coco) has resulted in major advances relevant to clinical microbiology and pathogenic bacteria in chronic diseases and an alarmone that dramatically improved our understanding of bacterial signal transduction.

These lessons highlight yet another example of the serendipitous nature of most discoveries and emphasize the futility of efforts to accelerate progress by narrowing down scientific inquiry to a limited number of applied/translational directions.

Where do we go from here? We believe that the most important gaps in our understanding of c-di-GMP-dependent signaling and, accordingly, the key directions of c-di-GMP research are as follows.

**Inputs into c-di-GMP-dependent signal transduction.** So far, few environmental signals that regulate c-di-GMP-mediated signaling pathways have been identified. Even less is known about the intracellular signals sensed by the enzymes involved in c-di-GMP metabolism. Identifying the inputs into c-di-GMP signaling pathways will be necessary to understand the roles of these pathways in bacterial physiology and host-pathogen interactions.

**Outputs of c-di-GMP signaling.** Although c-di-GMP has been shown to act on the transcriptional, posttranscriptional, and posttranslational levels, the number of identified c-di-GMP targets and mechanisms of c-di-GMP-mediated regulation remains relatively low. For example, despite many studies, the exact mechanisms through which c-di-GMP activates polysaccharide synthesis, remain unknown, nor have we fully resolved the issue of the apparent imbalance in the numbers of c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes and c-di-GMP receptors (the “c-di-GMP army” problem).

**Understanding c-di-GMP signaling with spatial precision.** Several c-di-GMP signaling systems have distinct locations in the cell, yet the reasons and mechanisms responsible for their localization remain poorly understood. Furthermore, many c-di-GMP signaling modules are spatially restricted and secluded from other modules. How is the spatial separation of c-di-GMP signaling pathways achieved?

**Understanding c-di-GMP signaling at sufficient temporal resolution.** Several recent studies highlighted the importance of transient c-di-GMP gradients. We need to move beyond the static view of the average intracellular c-di-GMP concentration as the sole deterministic factor of cell physiology and begin exploring c-di-GMP signaling on a much shorter time scale (e.g., seconds or faster).

**Role of c-di-GMP in host-pathogen interactions.** The dual roles of c-di-GMP as a factor promoting long-term survival of pathogenic bacteria in chronic diseases and an alarmone that alerts the immune system to bacterial infection should account for a very interesting dynamics of c-di-GMP signaling in pathogens during infections.

In this review, we described the progress made during the first 25 years of exploration of the c-di-GMP world, the period when c-di-GMP emerged from obscurity to the limelight of bacterial regulation. We expect the next 25 years to be no less exciting for the c-di-GMP field and the broader field of cyclic dinucleotide second messengers.
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